Morphological, agronomic and physiological characters diversity of local Sorghum genotype (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) East Java

Abstract. Sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) has great potential to be cultivated because it has extensive adaptability, tolerant to drought and puddles, can produce on marginal land and relatively resistant to pests and diseases. To increase the fulfillment of food needs, sorghum can be developed in Indonesia as an alternative to local food other than rice. This study aims to obtain information on the morphological, agronomic and physiological characteristics of nine local sorghum genotypes in East Java so that they can be used as elders in improving the nature of varieties. The experiments were arranged in a Randomized Block Design, using nine local sorghum genotypes which were repeated three times. The nine local sorghum genotypes are Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg . The results showed that nine genotypes characterized had diverse morphological characters (quantitative and qualitative), agronomic characters and physiological characters. The highest yield potential was found in the Sb.Tbn and Sb.Tag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains and production hectare⁻¹.

Keywords: Genetic variation, local genotypes, sorghum, Sorghum bicolor L. Moench

INTRODUCTION

For increasing food production in Indonesia to meet the needs of a growing population is more difficult. The limited land that is suitable for producing food and global climate change that is difficult to predict is one of the obstacles that must be faced (Luna and Widowati, 2014). Marginal land is an alternative to increasing food production with various limitations on the physical and chemical properties of soil. In such conditions, sorghum can still grow and produce, so that it can be developed as a local food alternative other than rice (Subagio and Suryawati, 2013). In areas that often experience drought or flood inundation, sorghum can still be cultivated, therefore there are considerable opportunities to increase sorghum production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014).

Sorghum is a multipurpose plant, both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, *et al.*, 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999).

One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng *et al.*, 2012).

The Food Security Agency includes sorghum as one of the supporting commodities for national food diversification. Research results from the Cereals Research Institute show that sorghum can substitute rice up to 30% with tastes that can be accepted by consumers (Suarni and Firmansyah, 2013). In a food self-sufficiency program, the Agricultural Research and Development Agency has made efforts to procure new improved varieties of sorghum, but because the development priorities are still in the rice and corn commodities, 15 varieties have been released from 1960 to 2001, 6 in 2013-2016.

This fact must be immediately addressed, among other by exploring and collecting of local sorghum genotypes as the first step in efforts to preserve and develop genetic resources and increase the genetic quality of varieties through plant breeding programs. This is important to do because the sorghum varieties and local genotypes are being pushed up by other food commodities. Besides that, in breeding programs, the more germplasm collections that are owned, the greater the chance to obtain superior gene sources that will be assembled into superior varieties (Sumarno and Zuraida 2004).

Formatted: Left: 3 cm, Right: 2 cm, Top: 1,8 cm, Bottom: 1,8 cm, Width: 29,7 cm, Height: 21 cm

Comment [RP1]: Genetic diversity of local sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) genotypes of East Java for agro-morphological and physiological traits.

Comment [RP2]: cultivate

Comment [RP3]: requirement

Comment [RP4]: to meet the food regirment

Comment [RP5]: grown

Comment [RP6]: alternative food source

Comment [RP7]: agromorphological

Comment [RP8]: characters

Comment [RP9]: parents

Comment [RP10]: experiment was conducted

Comment [RP11]: in three replications

Comment [RP12]: to meet the needs of growing population of Indonesia , need to increase the food production.

Comment [RP13]: Crop production

Comment [RP14]: crop

Comment [RP15]: there is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia

Comment [RP16]: showed

Based on the development of sorghum superior variety data in Indonesia and the fact that government efforts are still needed to support the success of food security, it is necessary to conduct research on the study of several local sorghum genotypes in East Java in order to obtain information on the diversity of each genotype. The result of local sorghum germplasm collection will be useful as elders in breeding programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in Oktober 2017 to January 2018 in Pasuruan, East Java, Indonesia which is located at an altitude of 5 m above sea level, the average temperature is 29° C - 34° C, rainfall averages of <u>1430of 1430</u> mm year⁻¹. Planting is done in paddy fields with alluvial soil types. The tools used to conduct this study were farming tools and measuring instruments. The materials used were the seeds of nine local sorghum genotypes obtained from six districts in East Java, manure and NPK fertilizer (Urea, SP-36, and KCl), pesticides and fungicides.

This study was arranged in a Randomized Block Design with three replications and used nine local genotypes of sorghum, namely Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg . Each unit (plot) has 21 plants with 5 sample plants.

Observations were made on morphological, agronomic and physiological characters. Morphological characters include quantitative characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains) and qualitative characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, density and shape of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color and grain color). Agronomic characters include a number of tillers, grain production, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age. Physiological characters include moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein, crude fat, and carbohydrates.

All genotypes are planted simultaneously. Planting is done apart with space of 75 cm x 15 cm on the trial plots. Treatment includes watering, weeding, piling, controlling pest and disease, and fertilizing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Quantitative Morphological Characters

Based on analysis of variance between genotypes for quantitative characters observed, namely plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, the weight of 100 grain shows that the results are significantly different at the level of 5%. According to Elvira, *et al.*, (2015), differences in plant growth and production are influenced by internal factors such as genes and hormones that influence growth through inherited traits. External factors such as nutrients, water, temperature, humidity, and light also have different influences on the characteristics of a plant.

Plant Height, Number of Leaves and Stem Diameter

Based on the results of the Tukey Test, several genotypes showed differences in plant height, a number of leaves and stem diameter. In Table 1, the plant height of the Sb.Tag 1 genotype is higher than the others, which is 331.81 cm. The lowest plants were Sb.Lmg 1 genotype (153.79 cm) and not different from Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The highest number of leaves was also found in the Sb.Tag 1 genotype, which was 10.93 strands although not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype while the lowest number of leaves was in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype (6.07 strands) and there are several matching genotypes. Stem diameter does not look too diverse, some genotypes show similarities. The highest average was found in Sb.Lmg 2 genotype, which was 2.13 cm and the lowest was in the Sb.Tag 2 genotype, which was 1.26 cm.

Table 1. Plant Height, Number of Leaves and Stem Diameter Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Plant Height (cm)	Number of Leaves	Stem Diameter (cm)
Sb.Pas	213.94 cd	7.93 b	1.36 ab
Sb.Lmg 1	153.79 a	10.53 cd	1.89 ab
Sb.Lmg 2	193.10 bc	9.60 c	2.13 b

Comment [RP17]: parents

Comment [RP18]: the

Comment [RP19]: october

Comment [RP20]: laid

Comment [RP21]: taken

Comment [RP22]: agromorphological

Comment [RP23]: shortest genotype was

Comment [RP24]: Delete

Comment [RP25]: minimum

Comment [RP26]: were

Comment [RP27]: much

Comment [RP28]: and the range of stem diameter was varies from 2.13 cm in Sb.Lmg2 to 1.26 cm in Sb.Tag2 genotype.

Sb.Tbn	290.81	e	9.43	c	1.69	ab
Sb.Spg 1	196.18	cd	6.07	а	1.28	a
Sb.Spg 2	165.68	ab	7.27	ab	1.28	a
Sb.Tag 1	331.81	f	10.93	d	2.00	ab
Sb.Tag 2	223.11	d	6.27	a	1.26	a
Sb.Jbg	280.25	e	9.60	c	1.64	ab
Tukey Test 5%	27.81		1.24		0.77	

Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

From the data above, it can be explained that high plants not always have many leaves because the sorghum stem consists of segments which are leaf seats. Plant height is influenced by the length of the segment while the number of leaves depends on the number of segments (Balakrishna and Venkatesh Bhat, 2015).

The growth of plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter in addition to the genetic characteristics of each genotype are also influenced by environmental factors and photosynthesis in leaves. This result is in line with the result of the Lampley et al. (2014), that) that differences in plant height, stem diameter, and a number of leaves of some sorghum varieties are influenced by genetic and environmental factors.

Panicle Length, Number of Grains Panicle⁻¹, Grain Weight Panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 Grains

Based on observations, the diversity of genotypes can be recognized more clearly in the generative phase. There are differences in the morphology of the nine genotypes studied quantitatively and qualitatively. In Table 2 it can be seen that the Sb.Tag 2 genotype has the longest panicle, which is 46.33 cm but not different from the Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The lowest panicle length is found in the Sb.Jbg genotype (22.22 cm) and was not different from Sb.Tbn and Sb.Lmg 1 genotypes. The highest number of grain panicle⁻¹ is owned by the Sb.Lmg 2 genotype, which is 3594.07 and not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, while the lowest is in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype has a lower grain weight panicle⁻¹ than the other genotypes, which is 33.9 g. The highest weight of 100 grains was achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype, which were equal.

In this generative stage, besides influenced by the environment, the role of leaves in producing dry matter during the photosynthesis process is crucial. In general, there is suitability between vegetative and generative growth of nine genotypes, it can be proved that the genotypes which have plant height, number of leaves and stems diameter high tend to produce panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains high. Gerik *et al.* (2003) explain that the grain size and weight depend on the ability of the plant, especially the leaves to produce dry matter during the grain filling process. Eighty-five percent of the dry matter produced by leaves during the generative phase is directly distributed to the grains. Besides, weather, soil fertility and groundwater influence the size and weight of the grains.

Table 2. Panicle Length, Number of Grains Panicle⁻¹, Grain Weight Panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 Grains Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Panicle Length (cm)	Number of Grain Panicle ⁻¹	Grain Weight Panicle ⁻¹ (g)	Weight of 100 Grains (g)
Sb.Pas	32.59 b	2149.53 bc	60.15 c	3.01 bcd
Sb.Lmg 1	26.20 a	3217.80 e	73.95 de	2.47 ab
Sb.Lmg 2	39.00 c	3594.07 e	87.86 f	2.59 ab

Comment [RP29]: tallest

Comment [RP30]: delete

Comment [RP31]: Panicle length, Number of grains panicle⁻¹, Grain weight Panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 grains

Comment [RP32]: Panicle (46.33 cm)

Comment [RP33]: And on par with

Comment [RP34]: shortest

Comment [RP35]: on par with

Comment [RP36]: more

Comment [RP37]: found

Comment [RP38]: less number of grains were in

Comment [RP39]: were on par with 100 grain weight

Comment [RP40]: 85%

Sb.Tbn	24.07 a	2661.33 d	89.84 f	2.65 abc
Sb.Spg 1	38.46 c	1217.47 a	3.9 a	2.32 a
Sb.Spg 2	42.39 cd	2421.07 cd	52.59 b	2.65 abc
Sb.Tag 1	41.45 c	2736.07 d	76.13 e	3.16 cd
Sb.Tag 2	46.33 d	1841.87 b	55.03 b	3.20 d
Sb.Jbg	22.22 a	2588.87 d	72.13 d	2.89 bcd
Tukey Test 5%	4.86	421.77	3.07	0.55

Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

B. Qualitative Morphological Characters

Qualitative morphological characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color, and grain color) in the nine observed genotypes showed diversity. This diversity is influenced by plant genetic factors and environmental factors. This result is in line with the result of Zubair (2016), contributors to the phenotypic variability (appearance) of an individual plant are genetic variation, environmental variations, and genetic and environmental interactions.

Young Leaf Color, Old Leaf Color, and Leaf Bone Color

In Table 3, the young leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 6/6, 5 GY 6/8 and 5 GY 5/4. The old leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 5/4 and 5 GY 4/4 colors while the leaf bone is dominated by 2.5 GY 8/6 colors, 2.5 GY 8/8 and 2.5 GY 8/4. This indicates that each genotype has a specific leaf character.

Table 3. Young Leaf Colors, Old Leaf Colors and Leaf Bone Colors Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Young Leaf Color ^{*)}	Old Leaf Color ^{*)}	Leaf Bone Color ^{*)}
Sb.Pas	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Lmg 1	66.67% 5 GY 6/8 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 4/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4
Sb.Lmg 2	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	66.67% 5 GY 4/6 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Tbn	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	53.33% 2.5 GY 8/4 + 46.67% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Spg 1	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
Sb.Spg 2	100% 5 GY 6/8	100% 5 GY 5/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
Sb.Tag 1	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 6/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/6

Comment [RP41]: table

Sb.Tag 2	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 4/4	26.67% 2.5 GY 8/8 + 73.33% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Jbg	33.33% 5 GY 6/8 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4
N (*) CI			D 1 (11211 112 1 1 00)

Note: *) Characterisation Characterization based on Munsell Tissue Colour Book (Wilde and Voight, 2012)

Shape and Density of Panicle, Grain Covering (Glume Length), Glume Color and Grain Color

Table 4. Shape and Density of Panicles, Grain Covering (Glume Length), Glume Color and Grain Color Nine Local Sorghur

Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Shape and Density of Panicles ^{*)}	Grain Covering (Glume Length) **)	Glume Color ^{**)}	Grain Color ^{**)}
Sb.Pas	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Lmg 1	Compact elliptic	Very short (25%)	Black	White
Sb.Lmg 2	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tbn	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown
Sb.Spg 1	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Spg 2	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tag 1	Very loose drooping primary branches	Short (50%)	Black	Yellowish white
Sb.Tag 2	Very loose drooping primary branches	Long (100%)	Reddish brown	Yellowish white
Sb.Jbg	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown

Note : *) Determination based on IBPGR / ICRISAT (2013)

^{**)} Determination based on UPOV (2015)

The results of the observations indicate that there are a variety shape and density of panicles, namely semi loose drooping primary branches, loose drooping primary branches, semi-compact elliptic and compact elliptic (IBPGR/ICRISAT, 2013). Based on UPOV (2015), there are three color groups of glume, namely medium yellow, black and reddish brown, while the color of grains is more diverse, namely light brown, white, red-brown and yellowish white. The grain covering by glume (glume length) also varies, namely very short, short, medium and long (Table 4).

C. Agronomic Character

Number of Tillers and Production

Sorghum is plants that can form tillers. The number of tillers produced depends on soil fertility, groundwater, and other growing environments besides the influence of genetic factors. The number of tillers determines the amount of production because sorghum seedlings can produce grains but the number of tillers should be limited because

Comment [RP42]: delete

it can affect the quantity and quality of the seeds of the main plant. As shown in Table 5, the number of tillers is not directly proportional to production because the amount of production is also influenced by panicle length, a number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, and weight of 100 grains. Gerik *et al.* (2003) stated that if environmental conditions grow favorably, sorghum can form tillers, both from the upper and lower stem segments. If the tillers are left unchecked, they produce a small number of extra grains but have lower quality and can delay harvesting for several weeks. The highest production was reached by the Sb.Tbn genotype and was not different from the Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Tag 1 genotypes while the lowest production was found in the Spg 1 genotype.

Genotype	Number of Tillers	Production (Ton Hektare ⁻¹
Sb.Pas	3.56 bcd	4.09 bc
Sb.Lmg 1	2.56 ab	4.28 bc
Sb.Lmg 2	3.22 abcd	4.44 bc
Sb.Tbn	2.67 ab	6.87 d
Sb.Spg 1	3.89 cd	1.94 a
Sb.Spg 2	2.33 a	3.83 b
Sb.Tag 1	4.11 d	5.53 cd
Sb.Tag 2	5.33 e	6.15 d
Sb.Jbg	2.89 abc	4.04 bc
Tukey Test 5 %	1.21	1.63

Table 5. Number of Tillers and Production Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Note: The numbers followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test 5%

Flowering Age, Flowering to Harvest Age and Harvest Age

The diversity of flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age in nine genotypes studied (Table 6), shows that each genotype has a different response to its growing environment, especially photoperiodicity. According to Kumar *et al.* (2015), photoperiodicity is an important factor in determining the time of flowering and harvesting. Besides, genetic factors also play a role in determining the life cycle of plants. Lampley *et al.* (2014) said that genotypes have a significant effect on the number of days up to 50% flowering and the number of days up to 95% of physiological maturity.

Based on the age of sorghum harvest, there are two groups, namely early maturing genotypes (Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2) and medium age genotypes (Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2 and Sb.Jbg). This is in line with the study conducted by Tabri, F., and Zubachtirodin (2013) that the age of sorghum harvest is classified into three, namely early maturity (<80 days), medium age (80-100 days) deep age > 100 days).

Comment [RP43]: results

Comment [RP44]: the maturity there were

Table 6. Flowering Age, Flowering to Harvest Age and Harvest Age Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Flowering Age (DAP)*)	Flowering to Harvest Age (Day)	Harvest Age (DAP)*)	Age Classification**)
Sb.Pas	45	27	72	Early Maturity
Sb.Lmg 1	46	27	73	Early Maturity
Sb.Lmg 2	48	35	83	Medium Age
Sb.Tbn	50	32	82	Medium Age
Sb.Spg 1	39	45	84	Medium Age
Sb.Spg 2	39	47	86	Medium Age
Sb.Tag 1	50	26	76	Early Maturity
Sb.Tag 2	37	32	69	Early Maturity
Sb.Jbg	50	38	88	Medium Age

Note:^{*)} DAP (Days After Planting) ^{**)}Classification based on Age Classification of Sorghum Varieties (Tabri, F. and Zubachtirodin, 2013)

D. Physiological Characters

Moisture Content, Ash, Protein, Crude Fat, Crude Fiber, Carbohydrates

Nutrients contained in sorghum seeds are determined by nutrients absorbed by roots and rate of accumulation of dry matter in grains derived from assimilates during photosynthesis besides being influenced by genetic factors. Shown in Table 7, the levels of ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates contained in nine genotypes were varied. When compared with nutritional range of sorghum (Andriani and Isnaini, 2013), the ash and crude fiber content was relatively high, the protein varies (the highest is Sb.Spg 1 genotype of 15.30% and the lowest Sb.Tag 1 genotype of 8.97%), medium crude fat (ranged from 2.58% -4.33%) while carbohydrates were below the minimum limit (ranging from 61.27% -69.47%).

Tuble / Thomstare Contents Thing I roteing Crude I not Controlly and the Boear Sor Ename Contents of Ename Contents of	Table 7. Moisture Conter	it, Ash, Protein	, Crude Fat	Crude Fiber	, Carbohydrates	s Nine Local S	Sorghum	Genotype East Ja
--	---------------------------------	------------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	----------------	---------	------------------

Genotype	Dry Weight (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Ash (%)	Protein (%)	Crude Fat (%)	Crude Fiber (%)	Carbohydrat <u>e</u> (%)
Sb.Pas	88.44	11.56	3.66	10.25	3.39	5.03	66.11
Sb.Lmg 1	87.96	12.04	1.53	11.34	2.58	3.04	69.47
Sb.Lmg 2	89.86	10.14	3.58	11.15	3.81	5.12	66.20
Sb.Tbn	90.43	9.57	3.25	10.60	4.33	3.47	68.78
Sb.Spg 1	89.24	10.76	2.20	15.30	3.69	2.54	65.51
Sb.Spg 2	88.01	11.99	4.09	13.13	3.24	6.28	61.27
Sb.Tag 1	88.24	11.76	3.37	8.97	3.74	5.49	66.67
Sb.Tag 2	88.27	11.73	3.59	10.48	3.52	7.14	63.54
Sb.Jbg	87.42	12.58	2.38	10.47	3.87	3.36	67.34

Nutritional Range (Andriani and Isnaini,	1.00-2.00	11.00-13.00	2.00-5.00	1.00-3.00	70.00-80.00
2013)					

CONCLUSION	
All quantitative characters, including quantitative morphological characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle ⁻¹ , grain weight panicle ⁻¹ , weight of 100 grains) and agronomic characters (number of tillers, production hectare ⁻¹ , flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age) indicate diversity. The highest yield potential was found in the Sb.Tbn and Sb.Tag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle ⁻¹ , weight of 100 grains and production hectare ⁻¹ . Qualitative characters showed diversity in young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density panicle, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color. Diversity was also shown in physiological characters with the highest protein potential (15.30%) achieved by the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, the highest crude fat (4.33%) achieved by the Sb.Tbn genotype, the highest carbohydrate (69.47%) achieved by Sb.Lmg 1 genotype and the highest crude fiber (7.14%) were achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype.	Comment [RP45]: delete
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
This research was supported financially by the Merdeka Pasuruan College Foundation. I appreciate the technical assistance of the Agrotechnology Laboratory Merdeka Pasuruan University and the Nutrition Laboratory of the University of Muhammadiyah Malang.	Comment [RP46]: Agro technology
REFERENCE	
Andriani A and Isnaini M. 2013. Morfologi dan Fase Pertumbuhan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.	
Balakrishna D & Bhat BV. 2015. Biology of <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (Sorghum). Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and Indian Institute of Millets Research, New Delhi.	
Elvira SD, Yusuf M & Maiyuslina. 2015. Karakter Agronomi Beberapa Varietas Sorgum pada Lahan Marginal di Aceh Utara. Jurnal Agrium 12(1): 1-4.	Comment [RP47]: delete
Food Security Department. 1999. Sorghum: Post-harvest Operations. In: Mejia D and Lewis B. (eds). Post-harvest Compendium. Food and Culture Organization of The	
Gerik T, Brent B & Richard. 2003. Sorghum Growth and Development. Texas Cooperative Extension, Texas.	Comment [RP48]: arrange according to journal's references
IBPGR and ICRISAT. 1993. Descriptors for sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome.	
ICRISAT. 2004. Sorghum, a Crops of Substance. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research, Hyderabad.	

- Kimber CT, Dahlberg JA & Kresovich S. 2013. The Gene Pool of *Sorghum bicolor* and Its Improvement. In: Paterson AH (ed). Genomics of the Saccharinae, Plant genetics and genomics: Crops and Models. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Kumar AA, Hari CS, Rajan S, Michael B, P Sanjaya R, and Belum VSR. 2015. Phenotyping in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. In: Panguluri SK and AA Kumar (eds.). Phenotyping for Plant Breeding: Applications of Phenotyping Methods for Crop Improvement. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Lamptey S, G Nyarko, A Falon and S Yeboah. 2014. Assessing the Performance of Sorghum Varieties in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. Asian Journal of Agricultural and Food Science 02(01): 64–72.
- Luna P and Widowati S. 2014. Potensi dan Pengembangan Sorgum di Jawa Timur dalam Upaya Gerakan Diversifikasi Pangan Nasional. Balai Besar Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pascapanen Pertanian Bogor.
- Mofokeng MA, G Watson, H Shimelis and P Tongoona. 2012. Comparison Between Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) And Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) Markers With High-Resolution Melt Analyses In Genetic Variation Analysis Among Selected Sorghum Genotypes. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(102): 16697–16707. DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.2091.
- Suarni and IU Firmansyah. 2013. Struktur, Komposisi Nutrisi dan Teknologi Pengolahan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H and Suryawati. 2013. Wilayah Penghasil dan Ragam Penggunaan Sorgum di Indonesia. In: Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H and Aqil M. 2014. Perakitan dan Pengembangan Varietas Unggul Sorgum. Iptek Tanaman Pangan 9(1): 39-50.

- Susilowati SH and Saliem HP. 2013. Perdagangan Sorgum di Pasar Dunia dan Asia serta Prospek Pengembangannya di Indonesia. In : Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum : Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Tabri F and Zubachtirodin. 2004. Budi Daya Tanaman Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- UPOV. (2015). Sorghum. In: Guidelines for The Conduct Test for Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability. International Union For The Protection Of New Varieties Of Plants, Geneva.
- Wilde and Voight. 2012. Munsell Tissue Colour Book. Grand Rapids, Michigan.
- Zubair A. 2016. Sorghum: Tanaman Multi Manfaat. Unpad Press, Bandung.

Sumarno dan Zuraida N. 2008. Pengelolaan Plasma Nutfah Tanaman Terintegrasi dengan Program Pemuliaan. Buletin Plasma Nutfah 14(2): 57–67.

	Comment [F1]: Please add author's name
Genetic diversity of local sorghum (<mark>S<i>orghum bicolor</i>) genotypes of East Java, Indonesia for agro</mark> morphological and physiological traits	Formatted: Left: 3 cm, Right: 2 cm, Top: 1,8 cm, Bottom: 1,8 cm, Width: 29,7 cm, Height: 21 cm
Abstract. Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L. Moench) has great potential to be cultivate because it has extensive adaptability, tolerant to drought and puddles, can produce on marginal land and relatively resistant to pests and diseases. To meet the food requirement, sorghum can be grown in Indonesia as an alternative food source other than rice. This study aims to obtain information on the agro-morphological and physiological characters of nine local sorghum genotypes in East Java so that they can be used as parents in improving the nature of varieties. The experiment was	Comment [F2]: Please check www.theplantlist.org
conducted in a Randomized Block Design, using nine local sorghum genotypes <u>irom East Java</u> in three replications. The nine local sorghum genotypes are Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg- <u>please write Tukey test.</u> . The results showed that nine genotypes characterized had diverse morphological characters (quantitative and qualitative), agronomic characters and physiological characters. The highest yield potential was found in the Sb.Tbn and Sb.Tag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle ⁻¹ , weight of 100 grains and production hectare ⁻¹ . <u>Based on all characters used, which genotype has the best characters?</u> which genotype has recommended for breeding program?	
Keywords: Genetic variation, local genotypes, sorghum, Sorghum bicolor L. Moench	
INTRODUCTION	Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (U.S.)
To meet the needs of growing population of Indonesia, need to increase the food production. The limited land that is suitable for crop production and global climate	Comment [F3]: Please rewrite this statement
change that is difficult to predict is one of the obstacles that must be faced (Luna and Widowati 2014). Marginal land is an alternative to increasing food production with various limitations on the physical and chemical properties of soil. In such conditions, sorghum can still grow and produce, so that it can be developed as a local food alternative other than rice (Subagio and Suryawati 2013). In areas that often experience drought or flood inundation, sorghum can still be cultivated, therefore there are considerable opportunities to increase sorghum production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil 2014).	Comment [F4]: Please add some informations as follows: large area of marginal land in Indonesia, needs and production of sorghum in Indonesia
from other cereals (ICRISAT 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat millet but lower than corn (Meija and Lewis 1999).	Comment [F5]: Please give examples
There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in	Comment [F6]: Please mention protein and fat contents of each food
the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng et al. 2012). The Food Security Agency includes sorghum as one of the supporting commodities for national food diversification. Research results from the Cereals Research Institute showed that sorghum can substitute rice up to 30% with tastes that can be accepted by consumers (Suarni and Firmansyah 2013). In a food self-sufficiency program, the	Comment [F7]: How many superior, cultivated and wild genotypes of sorghum in East Java?
Agricultural Research and Development Agency has made efforts to procure new improved varieties of sorghum, but because the development priorities are still in the rice	Comment [F8]: Breeding is only a

way to develop superior genotype?? Comment [F9]: Reference?

32 and corn commodities, 15 varieties have been released from 1960 to 2001, 6 in 2013-2016.

This fact must be immediately addressed, among other by exploring and collecting of local sorghum genotypes as the first step in efforts to preserve and develop genetic resources and increase the genetic quality of varieties through plant breeding programs. This is important to do because the sorghum varieties and local genotypes are being pushed up by other food commodities. Besides that, in breeding programs, the more germplasm collections that are owned, the greater the chance to obtain superior gene sources that will be assembled into superior varieties (Sumarno and Zuraida 2004).

Based on the development of sorghum superior variety data in Indonesia and the fact that government efforts are still needed to support the success of food security, it is necessary to conduct research on the study of several local sorghum genotypes in East Java in order to obtain information on the diversity of each genotype. The result of local sorghum germplasm collection will be useful as parents in breeding programs.

40

MATERIALS AND METHODS

41 <u>Please follow guidance for authors how to write metric measurement</u>

The study was conducted in October 2017 to January 2018 in Pasuruan, East Java, Indonesia which is located at an altitude of 5 m above sea level, the average temperature is 29°C - 34°C, rainfall averages of 1430 mm year¹. Planting is done in paddy fields with alluvial soil types. The tools used to conduct this study were farming tools and measuring instruments. The materials used were the seeds of nine local sorghum genotypes obtained from six districts in East Java, manure and NPK fertilizer (Urea, SP-36,

- 45 and KCl), pesticides and fungicides.
- 46 This study was laid in a Randomized Block Design with three replications and used nine local genotypes of sorghum, namely Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn,
- 47 Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg. Each unit (plot) has 21 plants with 5 sample plants.

48 Observations were taken on agro-morphological and physiological characters. Morphological characters include quantitative characters (plant height, number of leaves, 49 stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains) and qualitative characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone

stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻⁻, grain weight panicle⁻⁻, weight of 100 grains) and qualitative characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, density and shape of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color and grain color). Agronomic characters include a number of tillers, grain production, flowering

51 age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age. Physiological characters include moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein, crude fat, and carbohydrates.

All genotypes are planted simultaneously. Planting is done apart with space of 75 cm x 15 cm on the trial plots. Treatment includes watering, weeding, piling, controlling pest and disease, and fertilizing.

54 Data analysis???

55

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

56 Quantitative Morphological Characters

57 Based on analysis of variance between among genotypes for quantitative characters observed, namely plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length,

number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, the weight of 100 grain shows that the results are significantly different at the level of 5%. According to Elvira et al. (2015),

59 differences in plant growth and production are influenced by internal factors such as genes and hormones that influence growth through inherited traits. External factors such

as nutrients, water, temperature, humidity, and light also have different influences on the characteristics of a plant.

61 Plant height, Number of leaves and Stem diameter

Based on the results of the Tukey Test, several genotypes showed differences in plant height, a number of leaves and stem diameter. In <u>T</u>table 1, the plant height of the Sb.Tag 1 genotype is higher than the others, which is 331.81 cm. The shortest genotype was Sb.Lmg 1 (153.79 cm) and not different from Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The highest

number of leaves was also found in the Sb.Tag 1 genotype, which was 10.93 strands although not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype while the minimum number of leaves

were in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype (6.07 strands) and there are several matching genotypes. Stem diameter does not much diverse, some genotypes show similarities and the range

of stem diameter was varies from 2.13 cm in Sb.Lmg2 to 1.26 cm in Sb.Tag2 genotype.

67

Comment [F10]: Please write definition and examples of local sorghum in East Java

Comment [F11]: Please write into some subtitles

Comment [F12]: Please write more specific

Comment [F13]: Secondary or primary data?

Comment [F14]: It is better to present in a table Please mention six districts

Comment [F15]: Please present picture of each local genotype and write note of each local genotype

Comment [F16]: Any reference used?

Comment [F17]: Please add some relevant references

68 **Table 1.** Plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter nine local *Sorghum* genotype East Java

Genotype	Plant Height (cm)		Number of Le	eaves	Stem Diameter (cm)
Sb.Pas	213.94	cd	7.93	В	1.36	ab
Sb.Lmg 1	153.79	а	10.53	Cd	1.89	ab
Sb.Lmg 2	193.10	bc	9.60	С	2.13	b
Sb.Tbn	290.81	e	9.43	С	1.69	ab
Sb.Spg 1	196.18	cd	6.07	А	1.28	a
Sb.Spg 2	165.68	ab	7.27	Ab	1.28	a
Sb.Tag 1	331.81	f	10.93	D	2.00	ab
Sb.Tag 2	223.11	d	6.27	А	1.26	a
Sb.Jbg	280.25	e	9.60	C	1.64	ab
Tukey Test 5%	27.81		1.24	•	0.77	

69 Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

70 71 72

73

From the data above, it can be explained that tallest plants not always have many leaves because the sorghum stem consists of segments which are leaf seats. Plant height is influenced by the length of the segment while the number of leaves depends on the number of segments (Balakrishna and Venkatesh Bhat 2015).

The plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter in addition to the genetic characteristics of each genotype are also influenced by environmental factors and photosynthesis in leaves. This result is in line with the result of the Lampley et al. (2014) that differences in plant height, stem diameter, and a number of leaves of some sorghum varieties are influenced by genetic and environmental factors.

77 Please write general statements, which genotype present the best vegetative characters? why?

78 Panicle length, Number of grains panicle⁻¹, Grain weight panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 grains

Based on observations, the diversity of genotypes can be recognized more clearly in the generative phase. There are differences in the morphology of the nine genotypes studied quantitatively and qualitatively. In table 2 it can be seen that the Sb.Tag 2 genotype has the longest panicle (46.33 cm) and on par with Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The shortest panicle length is found in the Sb.Jbg genotype (22.22 cm) and was on par with Sb.Tbn and Sb.Lmg 1 genotypes. The more number of grain panicle⁻¹ found Sb.Lmg 2 genotype, which is 3594.07 and not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, while the less number of grains were in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, as many as 1217.47. The Sb.Tbn genotype has the highest grain weight panicle⁻¹, which is equal to 89.84 g and is not different from the Sb.Lmg 2 genotype. The Sb.Spg 1 genotype has a lower grain weight panicle⁻¹ than the other genotypes, which is 33.9 g. The highest weight of 100 grains was achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype, amounting to 3.20 g even though some genotypes matched, while the lowest weight was found in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype was 2.32 g and there were several genotypes were on par with 100 grain weight.

In this generative stage, besides influenced by the environment, the role of leaves in producing dry matter during the photosynthesis process is crucial. In general, there is suitability between vegetative and generative growth of nine genotypes, it can be proved that the genotypes which have plant height, number of leaves and stems diameter high tend to produce panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains high. Gerik et al. (2003) explain that the grain size and weight depend on the ability of the plant, especially the leaves to produce dry matter during the grain filling process, 85% of the dry matter produced by leaves during the generative phase is directly distributed to the grains. Besides, weather, soil fertility and groundwater influence the size and weight of the grains.

91

92 **Table 2.** Panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains nine local Sorghum genotype East Java, Indonesia

Q	12
1	2

Genotype	Panic	le Length (cm)	Numb Pa	er of Grain anicle ⁻¹	Gra	ain Weight Panicle ⁻¹ (g)	Wei	ght of 100 Grains (g)
Sb.Pas	32.59	b	2149.53	bc	60.15	С	3.01	bcd
Sb.Lmg 1	26.20	a	3217.80	e	73.95	De	2.47	ab
Sb.Lmg 2	39.00	с	3594.07	e	87.86	F	2.59	ab
Sb.Tbn	24.07	а	2661.33	d	89.84	F	2.65	abc

Comment [F19]: Please add relevant reference to make deeper discussion

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Comment [F20]: This part has discussed grain size and weight only, how about panicle length and number of grains panicle?? Please add some relevant reference to make deeper discussion

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [F18]: It should be written in small letters

94 95	Sb.Spg 1 Sb.Spg 2 Sb.Tag 1 Sb.Tag 2 Sb.Jbg <u>Tukey Test 59</u> Note: The nur <u>Please write</u>	38.46 c 12 42.39 cd 24 41.45 c 27 46.33 d 18 22.22 a 25 % 4.86 42 nber followed by the same letters show no difference general statements, which genotype present to	17.47 a 21.07 cd 36.07 d 41.87 b 88.87 d 11.77	3.9 A 52.59 B 76.13 E 55.03 B 72.13 D 3.07 S	2.32 2.65 3.16 3.20 2.89 0.55	a abc cd d bcd		Comment [F21]: It should be written in small letters Formatted: Font: Not Bold
96 97 98 99	Qualitative Qualitati color) in the Zubair (2010	Morphological Characters ve morphological characters (young leaf colo nine observed genotypes showed diversity. 5), contributors to the phenotypic variability	or, old leaf color, leaf bone This diversity is influenced (appearance) of an individ	color, shape and density of by plant genetic factors and ual plant are genetic variat	panicle, grain covering/glun environmental factors. This on, environmental variations	he length, glume color, and grain result is in line with the result of and genetic and environmental		
100	interactions.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Comment [F22]: Please add some relevant references
101 102	Young leaf c In table 3	olor, old leaf color, and leaf bone color 3, <u>T</u>the young leaf color is dominated by 5 G	Y 6/6, 5 GY 6/8 and 5 GY	5/4. The old leaf color is do	minated by 5 GY 5/4 and 5 C	GY 4/4 colors while the leaf bone		Formatted: English (U.S.)
103 104 105 106	is dominated Table 3. Your	l by 2.5 GY 8/6 colors, 2.5 GY 8/8 and 2.5 G ng leaf colors, old leaf colors and leaf bone colors	Y 8/4 <u>(Table 3)</u> . This indica nine local sorghum genotype F	<mark>tes that each genotype has a</mark> East Java, Indonesia	specific leaf character.			Comment [F23]: This part is results Please explain why?? add some relevant references
	Genotype	Young Leaf Color ^{*)}	Old Leaf Color ^{*)}		Leaf Bone Color ^{*)}			
	Sb.Pas	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4		100% 2.5 GY 8/6			
	Sb.Lmg 1	66.67% 5 GY 6/8 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 4/4		100% 2.5 GY 8/4			
	Sb.Lmg 2	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	66.67% 5 GY 4/6 +	33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6			
	Sb.Tbn	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 +	66.67% 5 GY 5/4	53.33% 2.5 GY 8/4 + 46.0	57% 2.5 GY 8/6		
	Sb.Spg 1	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4		100% 2.5 GY 8/8			
	Sb.Spg 2	100% 5 GY 6/8	100% 5 GY 5/6		100% 2.5 GY 8/8			
	Sb.Tag I	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 6/6		100% 2.5 GY 8/6			
	Sb. Lag 2	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 4/4	CC CON E CN EIA	26.67% 2.5 GY 8/8 + 73.3	33% 2.5 GY 8/6		
107	SUJUg	55.55% 5 G I 0/8 + 00.07% 5 G I 0/0	55.55% 5 G1 4/4 +	00.07% 3 G1 3/4	100% 2.3 G1 8/4			
107 108 109 110 111	Shape and d The res drooping pri medium yell	ensity of panicle, Grain covering (Glume leng sults indicate that there are a variety shape a mary branches, semi-compact elliptic and c ow, black and reddish brown, while the color	<i>(white and voigh, 2012)</i> <i>gth), Glume color and Grain</i> nd density of panicles, namo ompact elliptic (IBPGR/IC r of grains is more diverse,	n color lely semi loose drooping pr RISAT, 2013). Based on U namely light brown, white,	imary branches, loose droopi IPOV (2015), there are three red-brown and yellowish wh	ng primary branches, very loose color groups of glume, namely ite. The grain covering by glume		
112 113	(glume lengt	h) also varies, namely very short, short, medi	um and long (<u>T</u> table 4).					Comment [F24]: This part is results Please explain why?? add some
114	Table 4. Shap	e and density of panicles, grain covering (glume le	ength), glume color and grain o	color nine local Sorghum ger	otype East Java		-	relevant references
	Genotyp	e Shape and Densi of Paniolos ^{*)}	ity	Grain Covering (Glume Length) **)	Glume Color **)	Grain Color ^{**)}		
	Sh Pas	Loose drooping primary branches	Ν	Medium (75%)	Medium vellow	Light brown	-	
	Sb.Lmg 1	Compact elliptic	N	/ery short (25%)	Black	White		

Sb.Lmg 2	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tbn	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown
Sb.Spg 1	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Spg 2	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tag 1	Very loose drooping primary branches	Short (50%)	Black	Yellowish white
Sb.Tag 2	Very loose drooping primary branches	Long (100%)	Reddish brown	Yellowish white
Sb.Jbg	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown
Note : *) Determ	nination based on IBPGR / ICRISAT (2013). **) Determination	based on UPOV (2015)		

115 116

117 Agronomic Character

118 Number of tillers and grain Production

Sorghum is plants that can form tillers. The number of tillers produced depends on soil fertility, groundwater, and other growing environments besides the influence of genetic factors. The number of tillers determines the amount of production because sorghum seedlings can produce grains but the number of tillers should be limited because it can affect the quantity and quality of the seeds of the main plant. As shown in table 5, the number of tillers is not directly proportional to production because the amount of production is also influenced by panicle length, a number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, and weight of 100 grains. Gerik et al. (2003) stated that if environmental conditions grow favorably, sorghum can form tillers, both from the upper and lower stem segments. If the tillers are left unchecked, they produce a small number of extra grains but have lower quality and can delay harvesting for several weeks. The highest production was reached by the Sb.Tbn genotype and was not different from the Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Tag 1 genotypes while the lowest production was found in the Spg 1 genotype.

126

127 Table 5. Number of tillers and production nine local sorghum genotype East Java, Indonesia128

Genotype	Number of Tillers	Production (Ton Hektare ⁻¹	
Sb.Pas	3.56 Bcd	4.09 bc	
Sb.Lmg 1	2.56 Ab	4.28 bc	l
Sb.Lmg 2	3.22 Abcd	4.44 bc	
Sb.Tbn	2.67 Ab	6.87 d	
Sb.Spg 1	3.89 Cd	1.94 a	
Sb.Spg 2	2.33 A	3.83 b	
Sb.Tag 1	4.11 d	5.53 cd	
Sb.Tag 2	5.33 e	6.15 d	
Sb.Jbg	2.89 abc	4.04 bc	
Tukey Test 5 %	1.21	1.63	

129 Note: The numbers followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test 5%

130 Flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age

131 The diversity of flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age in nine genotypes studied (table 6), results shows that each genotype has a different response to its

growing environment, especially photoperiodicity (Table 6). According to Kumar et al. (2015), photoperiodicity is an important factor in determining the time of flowering

and harvesting. Besides, genetic factors also play a role in determining the life cycle of plants. Lampley et al. (2014) said that genotypes have a significant effect on the

number of days up to 50% flowering and the number of days up to 95% of physiological maturity.

Based on the maturity there were two groups, namely early maturing genotypes (Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2) and medium age genotypes (Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn,

136 Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2 and Sb.Jbg). This is in line with the study conducted by Tabri, F., and Zubachtirodin (2013) that the age of sorghum harvest is classified into three,

137 namely early maturity (<80 days), medium age (80-100 days) deep age > 100 days).

Comment [F28]: Please add some relevant references to make deeper discussion

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Comment [F25]: Reference?

Comment [F26]: Please add some

Comment [F27]: It should be write

relevant reference to make deeper

discussion

in small letters

Comment [F29]: Did you measure photoperiodicity?

Table 6. Flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age nine local Sorghum genotype East Java, Indonesia

57						
	Genotype	Flowering Age (DAP)*)	Flowering to Harvest Age (Day)	Harvest Age (DAP)*)	Age Classification**)	
	Sb.Pas	45	27	72	Early Maturity	
	Sb.Lmg 1	46	27	73	Early Maturity	
	Sb.Lmg 2	48	35	83	Medium Age	
	Sb.Tbn	50	32	82	Medium Age	
	Sb.Spg 1	39	45	84	Medium Age	
	Sb.Spg 2	39	47	86	Medium Age	
	Sb.Tag 1	50	26	76	Early Maturity	
	Sb.Tag 2	37	32	69	Early Maturity	
	Sb.Jbg	50	38	88	Medium Age	

140 Note: *) DAP (Days After Planting). **) Classification based on Age Classification of Sorghum Varieties (Tabri, F. and Zubachtirodin, 2013)

141 Physiological Characters – Moisture content, Ash, Protein, Crude fat, Crude fiber, Carbohydrates

Nutrients contained in sorghum seeds are determined by nutrients absorbed by roots and rate of accumulation of dry matter in grains derived from assimilates during photosynthesis besides being influenced by genetic factors. Shown in table 7, the levels of ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates contained in nine genotypes were varied (Table 7). When compared with nutritional range of sorghum (Andriani and Isnaini 2013), the ash and crude fiber content was relatively high, the protein varies

(the highest is Sb.Spg 1 genotype of 15.30% and the lowest Sb.Tag 1 genotype of 8.97%), medium crude fat (ranged from 2.58% -4.33%) while carbohydrates were below the

- 146 minimum limit (ranging from 61.27% -69.47%). Why?? Please explain
- 147

148 **Table 7.** Moisture content, ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber, carbohydrates nine local sorghum genotype East Java, indonesia 149

Genotype	Dry Weight (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Ash (%)	Protein (%)	Crude Fat (%)	Crude Fiber (%)	Carbohydrate (%)
Sb.Pas	88.44	11.56	3.66	10.25	3.39	5.03	66.11
Sb.Lmg 1	87.96	12.04	1.53	11.34	2.58	3.04	69.47
Sb.Lmg 2	89.86	10.14	3.58	11.15	3.81	5.12	66.20
Sb.Tbn	90.43	9.57	3.25	10.60	4.33	3.47	68.78
Sb.Spg 1	89.24	10.76	2.20	15.30	3.69	2.54	65.51
Sb.Spg 2	88.01	11.99	4.09	13.13	3.24	6.28	61.27
Sb.Tag 1	88.24	11.76	3.37	8.97	3.74	5.49	66.67
Sb.Tag 2	88.27	11.73	3.59	10.48	3.52	7.14	63.54
Sb.Jbg	87.42	12.58	2.38	10.47	3.87	3.36	67.34
Nutritional Range (Andriani and Isnaini 2013)			1.00-2.00	11.00-13.00	2.00-5.00	1.00-3.00	70.00-80.00

150

In conclusion, all quantitative characters, including morphological characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains) and agronomic characters (number of tillers, production hectare⁻¹, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age) indicate diversity. The highest yield potential was found in the Sb.Tbn and Sb.Tag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains and production hectare⁻¹. Qualitative characters showed diversity in young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density panicle, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color. Diversity was also shown in physiological characters with the highest protein potential (15.30%) achieved by the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, the highest crude fat (4.33%) achieved by the Sb.Tbn genotype, the highest carbohydrate (69.47%) achieved by Sb.Lmg 1 genotype and the highest crude fiber (7.14%) were achieved by the Sb.Tag 2

157 genotype.

158 Based on all characters used, which genotype has the best characters?? which genotype has recommended for breeding program?

Comment [F30]: Please make a definition of physiological characters in Sorghum

Comment [F31]: Did you analyze those characters by yourshelf? Which method did you use?

Comment [F32]: Please explain: local or superior Sorghum?

Formatted: English (U.S.)

159

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

160	This research was supported financially by the Merdeka Pasuruan College Foundation. I appreciate the technical assistance of the Agro technology Laboratory Merdeka		Comment (F33)
161	Pasuruan University and the Nutrition Laboratory of the University of Muhammadiyah Malang.	(
		(

162

REFERENCES

- Andriani A, Isnaini M. 2013. Morfologi dan Fase Pertumbuhan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta. 163
- Balakrishna D. Bhat BV. 2015. Biology of Sorghum bicolor (Sorghum). Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and Indian Institute of Millets Research. New 164 165 Delhi.
- 166 Elvira SD, Yusuf M, Maiyuslina. 2015. Karakter Agronomi Beberapa Varietas Sorgum pada Lahan Marginal di Aceh Utara. Jurnal Agrium 12(1): 1-4.
- Gerik T, Brent B, Richard. 2003. Sorghum Growth and Development. Texas Cooperative Extension, Texas. 167
- IBPGR and ICRISAT. 1993. Descriptors for sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome. 168
- ICRISAT. 2004. Sorghum, a Crops of Substance. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research, Hyderabad. 169
- Kimber CT, Dahlberg JA, Kresovich S, 2013, The Gene Pool of Sorghum bicolor and Its Improvement, In: Paterson AH (ed), Genomics of the Saccharinae, Plant genetics 170 171 and genomics: Crops and Models. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Kumar AA, Hari CS, Rajan S, Michael B, P Sanjava R, Belum VSR. 2015. Phenotyping in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. In: Panguluri SK and AA Kumar (eds.). 172 Phenotyping for Plant Breeding: Applications of Phenotyping Methods for Crop Improvement. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York. 173
- Lamptev S, G Nyarko, A Falon, S Yeboah, 2014, Assessing the Performance of Sorghum Varieties in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. Asian Journal of Agricultural and 174 Food Science 02(01): 64-72. 175
- 176 Luna P, Widowati S. 2014. Potensi dan Pengembangan Sorgum di Jawa Timur dalam Upaya Gerakan Diversifikasi Pangan Nasional. Balai Besar Penelitian dan 177 Pengembangan Pascapanen Pertanian Bogor.
- 178 Mejia D, Lewis B. 1999. Sorghum: Post-harvest Operations. Post-harvest Compendium. Food and Culture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.
- Mofokeng MA, G Watson, H Shimelis, P Tongoona. 2012. Comparison Between Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) And Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) 179 Markers With High-Resolution Melt Analyses In Genetic Variation Analysis Among Selected Sorghum Genotypes, African Journal of Biotechnology 11(102): 16697-180 181 16707. DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.2091.
- 182 Suarni, IU Firmansyah. 2013. Struktur, Komposisi Nutrisi dan Teknologi Pengolahan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. 183 IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H, Suryawati. 2013. Wilayah Penghasil dan Ragam Penggunaan Sorgum di Indonesia. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. 184 185 IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- 186 Subagio H, Aqil M. 2014. Perakitan dan Pengembangan Varietas Unggul Sorgum. Iptek Tanaman Pangan 9(1): 39-50.
- 187 Sumarno, Zuraida N. 2008. Pengelolaan Plasma Nutfah Tanaman Terintegrasi dengan Program Pemuliaan. Buletin Plasma Nutfah 14(2): 57-67.
- Susilowati SH, Saliem HP. 2013. Perdagangan Sorgum di Pasar Dunia dan Asia serta Prospek Pengembangannya di Indonesia. In : Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum : Inovasi 188 189 Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Tabri F, Zubachtirodin. 2004. Budi Daya Tanaman Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta. 190
- 191 UPOV. (2015). Sorghum. In: Guidelines for The Conduct Test for Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability. International Union For The Protection Of New Varieties Of Plants, 192 Geneva.
- 193 Wilde, Voight. 2012. Munsell Tissue Colour Book. Grand Rapids, Michigan.
- Zubair A. 2016. Sorghum: Tanaman Multi Manfaat. Unpad Press, Bandung. 194
- 195

l: I or We?

Comment [F34]: Please follow guidance for authors

	Formatted
	Formatted
	Formatted
Genetic diversity of local sorghum <i>[Sorghum bicolor L. Moench]</i> genotypes of East Java for agro-morphological and physiological traits Morphological, agronomic and physiological characters diversity of	Formatted
local Sorghum genotype (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) East Java	Comment [RP1]: Genetic diversity
Sulistvawati ^{1)*} , Dvah Roeswitawati ²⁾ , Jabal Tarik Ibrahim ³²⁾ , Maftuchah ²⁾	Formatted
¹⁾ Faculty of Agriculture, Merdeka Pasuruan University	Formatted
²⁾ Department of Agrotechnology nimal Science , Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Muhammadyah Malang ³⁾ Department of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Muhammadyah Malang	Formatted
* [*] Corresponding Author: <u>mommyandri@gmail.com</u>	Formatted
	Formatted
Abstract. Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) has great potential to be <u>cultivate</u> because it has extensive adaptability, tolerant to drought and puddles, can-	Formatted
developed in Indonesia as an alternative food source alternative to local food other than rice. This study aims to obtain information on the agro-morphological morphological	Formatted
agronomic and physiological characters characteristics of nine local sorghum genotypes in East Java so that they can be used as parents elders in improving the nature of	Formatted
varieties. The <u>experiment was conducted</u> experiments were arranged in a Randomized Block Design, using nine local sorghum genotypes in three replications which were	Comment [RP2]: cultivate
repeated three times. The nine local sorghum genotypes are Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg. The results showed that nine genotypes characterized had diverse morphological characters (quantitative) agronomic characters and physiological characters. The highest yield	Formatted
potential was found in the Sb.Tbn and Sb.Tag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle ⁻¹ , weight of 100 grains and production hectare ⁻¹ .	Formatted
Keywords: Genetic variation, local genotypes, sorghum, Sorghum bicolor L. Moench	Formatted
INTRODUCTION	Comment [RP3]: requirement
	Comment [RP4]: to meet the foo
<u>rowing population is more difficult.</u> The limited land that is suitable for crop production production producing food production is more difficult.	Formatted
obstacles that must be faced (Luna and Widowati, 2014). Marginal land is an alternative to increasing food production with various limitations on the physical and chemical	Formatted
2013) In areas that often experience drought or flood inundation, sorghum can still be cultivated, therefore there are considerable opportunities to increase sorghum	Comment [PP5]: grown
where are considerable opportunities to merease soreman of the second of	Comment [KF 3]. grown
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of	Comment [RP6]: alternative food
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). <u>There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia</u> One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars.	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters
Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropphant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). <u>There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i>, 2012).</u>	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subsection and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted
Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>cropplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). <u>There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i>, 2012). The Food Security Agency includes sorghum as one of the supporting commodities for national food diversification. Research results from the Cereals Research Institute showed show that sorghum can substitute rice up to 30% with tastes that can be accepted by consumers (Suarni and Firmansyah, 2013). In a food self-sufficiency program, the</u>	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose cropplant, both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at IndonesiaOne of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Comment [RP10]: experiment wa
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>croplant</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose cropplant, both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia/One of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Comment [RP10]: experiment wa Formatted Comment [RP11]: in three
production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil, 2014). Sorghum is a multipurpose <u>prophene</u> , both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber, <i>et al.</i> , 2013). As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT, 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis, 1999). There is less sorghum crop improvement work at IndonesiaOne of the problems faced in developing the commodity of sorghum in Indonesia is the lack of development of superior varieties especially the results of the development of local genotypes. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem, 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	Comment [RP6]: alternative food Formatted Formatted Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP7]: agro- Comment [RP8]: characters Comment [RP9]: parents Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted Formatted

(

_

Agricultural Research and Development Agency has made efforts to procure new improved varieties of sorghum, but because the development priorities are still in the rice and corn commodities, 15 varieties have been released from 1960 to 2001, 6 in 2013-2016

This fact must be immediately addressed, among other by exploring and collecting of local sorghum genotypes as the first step in efforts to preserve and develop genetic resources and increase the genetic quality of varieties through plant breeding programs. This is important to do because the sorghum varieties and local genotypes are being pushed up by other food commodities. Besides that, in breeding programs, the more germplasm collections that are owned, the greater the chance to obtain superior gene sources that will be assembled into superior varieties (Sumarno and Zuraida 2004).

Based on the development of sorghum superior variety data in Indonesia and the fact that government efforts are still needed to support the success of food security, it is recessary to conduct research on the study of several local sorghum genotypes in East Java in order to obtain information on the diversity of each genotype. The result of local sorghum germplasm collection will be useful as parents elders in breeding programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sThis study was conducted in October Oktober 2017 to January 2018 in Pasuruan, East Java, Indonesia which is located at an altitude of 5 m above sea level, the average temperature is 29°C - 34°C, rainfall averages of 14300 f 1430 mm year⁻¹. Planting is done in paddy fields with alluvial soil types. The tools used to conduct this study were farming tools and measuring instruments. The materials used were the seeds of nine local sorghum genotypes obtained from six districts in East Java, manure and NPK fertilizer (Urea, SP-36, and KCl), pesticides and fungicides.

This study was laidarranged in a Randomized Block Design with three replications and used nine local genotypes of sorghum, namely Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg . Each unit (plot) has 21 plants with 5 sample plants

Observations were <u>taken</u> made on <u>agro-morphological</u> morphological, <u>agronomic</u> and physiological characters. Morphological characters include quantitative characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle-¹, grain weight panicle -¹, weight of 100 grains) and qualitative characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, density and shape of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color and grain color). Agronomic characters include a number of tillers, grain production, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age. Physiological characters include moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein, crude fat, and carbohydrates.

All genotypes are planted simultaneously. Planting is done apart with space of 75 cm x 15 cm on the trial plots. Treatment includes watering, weeding, piling, controlling pest and disease, and fertilizing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Quantitative Morphological Characters

Based on analysis of variance between genotypes for quantitative characters observed, namely plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, the weight of 100 grain shows that the results are significantly different at the level of 5%. According to Elvira, *et al.*, (2015), differences in plant growth and production are influenced by internal factors such as genes and hormones that influence growth through inherited traits. External factors such as nutrients, water, temperature, humidity, and light also have different influences on the characteristics of a plant.

Plant height, Nnumber of leaves and Sstem diameter

Based on the results of the Tukey Test, several genotypes showed differences in plant height, a number of leaves and stem diameter. In <u>t</u>-Table 1, the plant height of the 4^{-1} Sb.Tag 1 genotype is higher than the others, which is 331.81 cm. The <u>shortest genotype was lowest plants were</u> Sb.Lmg 1 genotype (153.79 cm) and not different from Sb.Spg

Formatted: Font: Calibri, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Comment Text

Comment [RP17]: parents

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Calibri, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Comment Text, Indent: First line: 0,5 cm

Comment [RP18]: the

Comment [RP19]: october

Comment [RP20]: laid

Formatted: Comment Text

...

ſ ...

...

....

...

....

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

Comment [RP21]: taken

Comment [RP22]: agro-

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

onnatteu

Formatted

Formatted: Justified

Comment [RP23]: shortest

Comment [RP24]: Delete

Formatted

Formatted

2 genotype. The highest number of leaves was also found in the Sb.Tag 1 genotype, which was 10.93 strands although not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype while the <u>minimum</u> lowest number of leaves were was in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype (6.07 strands) and there are several matching genotypes. Stem diameter does not <u>much</u> look too diverse, some genotypes show similarities and the range of stem diameter was varies from 2.13 cm in Sb.Lmg2 to 1.26 cm in Sb.Tag2 genotype. The highest average was found in Sb.Lmg2 genotype, which was 2.13 cm and the lowest was in the Sb.Tag2 genotype, which was 1.26 cm.

Genotype	Plant Height (cm)	Number of Lea	aves	Stem Diameter (cm)			
Sb.Pas	213.94 cd	7.93	b	1.36	ab		
Sb.Lmg 1	153.79 a	10.53	cd	1.89	ab		
Sb.Lmg 2	193.10 bc	9.60	с	2.13	b		
Sb.Tbn	290.81 e	9.43	с	1.69	ab		
Sb.Spg 1	196.18 cd	6.07	а	1.28	a		
Sb.Spg 2	165.68 ab	7.27	ab	1.28	a		
Sb.Tag 1	331.81 f	10.93	d	2.00	ab		
Sb.Tag 2	223.11 d	6.27	а	1.26	a		
Sb.Jbg	280.25 e	9.60	с	1.64	ab		
Tukey Test 5%	27.81	1.24		0.77			

Table 1. Plant Height, Number of Leaves and Stem Diameter Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

From the data above, it can be explained that <u>tallest high</u> plants not always have many leaves because the sorghum stem consists of segments which are leaf seats. Plant⁴ height is influenced by the length of the segment while the number of leaves depends on the number of segments (Balakrishna and Venkatesh Bhat, 2015).

The_growth of plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter in addition to the genetic characteristics of each genotype are also influenced by environmental factors and photosynthesis in leaves. This result is in line with the result of the Lampley et al. (2014), that that differences in plant height, stem diameter, and a number of leaves of some sorghum varieties are influenced by genetic and environmental factors.

Comment [RP25]: minimum Comment [RP26]: were Comment [RP27]: much Formatted: Font: Times New Roman Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: Calibri, Bold, Font color: Black, English (U.S.) Comment [RP28]: and the range of stem diameter was varies from 2.13 cm in Sb.Lmg2 to 1.26 cm in Sb.Tag2 genotype. Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: Bold, Font color: Black Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Black Formatted Formatted: Comment Text Formatted: Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Space After: 8 pt

Comment [RP29]: tallest

Formatted: Comment Text

Formatted

Comment [RP30]: delete

Panicle length, Number of grains panicle⁻¹, Grain weight panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 grains

Panicle Length, Number of Grains Panicle⁴, Grain Weight Panicle⁺¹ and Weight of 100 Grains

Based on observations, the diversity of genotypes can be recognized more clearly in the generative phase. There are differences in the morphology of the nine genotypes studied quantitatively and qualitatively. In <u>t</u>-able 2 it can be seen that the Sb.Tag 2 genotype has the longest <u>panicle (46.33 cm) paniele</u>, which is 46.33 cm and on par with but not different from the Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The <u>-shortest plowest panicle length</u> is found in the Sb.Jbg genotype (22.22 cm) and was on par with not different from Sb.Tbn and Sb.Lmg 1 genotypes.

The <u>more highest number of grain panicle⁻¹ found is owned by the Sb.Lmg 2 genotype</u>, which is 3594.07 and not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, while the <u>less number</u> of grains were in lowest is in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, as many as 1217.47. The Sb.Tbn genotype has the highest grain weight panicle⁻¹, which is equal to 89.84 g and is not different from the Sb.Lmg 2 genotype. The Sb.Spg 1 genotype has a lower grain weight panicle⁻¹ than the other genotypes, which is 33.9 g. The highest weight of 100 grains was achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype, amounting to 3.20 g even though some genotypes matched, while the lowest weight was found in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype was 2.32 g and there were several genotypes were on par with 100 grain weight, which were equal.

In this generative stage, besides influenced by the environment, the role of leaves in producing dry matter during the photosynthesis process is crucial. In general, there is suitability between vegetative and generative growth of nine genotypes, it can be proved that the genotypes which have plant height, number of leaves and stems diameter high tend to produce panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains high. Gerik *et al.* (2003) explain that the grain size and weight depend on the ability of the plant, especially the leaves to produce dry matter during the grain filling process_<u>--85%</u>Eighty five percent of the dry matter produced by leaves during the generative phase is directly distributed to the grains. Besides, weather, soil fertility and groundwater influence the size and weight of the grains.

Table 2. Panicle Length, Number of Grains Panicle⁻¹, Grain Weight Panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 Grains Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Panicle Len (cm)		Number of Gr Panicle ⁻¹	rain Grain Weight Panicle ⁻¹ (g)			Weight of 100 Grains (g)		
Sb.Pas	32.59	b	2149.53	bc	60.15	c	3.01	bcd	
Sb.Lmg 1	26.20	а	3217.80	e	73.95	de	2.47	ab	
Sb.Lmg 2	39.00	с	3594.07	e	87.86	f	2.59	ab	
Sb.Tbn	24.07	а	2661.33	d	89.84	f	2.65	abc	
Sb.Spg 1	38.46	с	1217.47	а	3.9	а	2.32	а	
Sb.Spg 2	42.39	cd	2421.07	cd	52.59	b	2.65	abc	
Sb.Tag 1	41.45	c	2736.07	d	76.13	e	3.16	cd	
Sb.Tag 2	46.33	d	1841.87	b	55.03	b	3.20	d	
Sb.Jbg	22.22	a	2588.87	d	72.13	d	2.89	bcd	
Tukey Test 5%	4 86		421 77		3.07		0.55		

Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

B. Qualitative Morphological Characters

Qualitative morphological characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color, and grain color) in the nine observed genotypes showed diversity. This diversity is influenced by plant genetic factors and environmental factors. This result is in line with the result of Zubair (2016), contributors to the phenotypic variability (appearance) of an individual plant are genetic variation, environmental variations, and genetic and environmental interactions.

Comment [RP31]: Panicle length, Number of grains panicle⁻¹, Grain weight Panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 grains

Formatted: Comment Text

Comment [RP32]: Panicle (46.33 cm)

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Comment [RP33]: And on par with

Comment [RP34]: shortest

Comment [RP35]: on par with

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Comment [RP36]: more
Comment [RP37]: found

Formatted: Font: Times New

Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Comment [RP38]: less number of grains were in

Comment [RP39]: were on par wi

Formatted

Formatted

Comment [RP40]: 85%

Formatted

Formatted

Young lLeaf <u>cColor</u>, Old lLeaf <u>cColor</u>, and Leaf <u>bBone <u>cC</u>olor</u>

In <u>tTable able</u> 3, the young leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 6/6, 5 GY 6/8 and 5 GY 5/4. The old leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 5/4 and 5 GY 4/4 colors while the leaf bone is dominated by 2.5 GY 8/6 colors, 2.5 GY 8/8 and 2.5 GY 8/4. This indicates that each genotype has a specific leaf character.

Comment [RP41]: table

Table 3. Young Leaf Colors, Old Leaf Colors and Leaf Bone Colors Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Young Leaf Color ^{*)}	Old Leaf Color ^{*)}	Leaf Bone Color ^{*)}
Sb.Pas	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Lmg 1	66.67% 5 GY 6/8 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 4/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4
Sb.Lmg 2	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	66.67% 5 GY 4/6 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Tbn	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	53.33% 2.5 GY 8/4 + 46.67% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Spg 1	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
Sb.Spg 2	100% 5 GY 6/8	100% 5 GY 5/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
Sb.Tag 1	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 6/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Tag 2	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 4/4	26.67% 2.5 GY 8/8 + 73.33% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sh Ibg	33.33% 5 GY 6/8 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4

Note: *) CharacterisationCharacterization based on Munsell Tissue Colour Book (Wilde and Voight, 2012)

Shape and <u>d</u>Pensity of <u>p</u>Panicle, Grain <u>c</u>Overing (Glume <u>l</u>Length), Glume <u>c</u>Olor and Grain <u>c</u>Olor

Table 4. Shape and Density of Panicles, Grain Covering (Glume Length), Glume Color and Grain Color Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Shape and Density of Panicles ^{*)}	Grain Covering (Glume Length) **)	Glume Color ^{**)}	Grain Color ^{**)}	
Sb.Pas	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown	
Sb.Lmg 1	Compact elliptic	Very short (25%)	Black	White	
Sb.Lmg 2	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown	
Sb.Tbn	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown	

Sb.Spg 1	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Spg 2	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tag 1	Very loose drooping primary branches	Short (50%)	Black	Yellowish white
Sb.Tag 2	Very loose drooping primary branches	Long (100%)	Reddish brown	Yellowish white
Sb.Jbg	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown

Note : *) Determination based on IBPGR / ICRISAT (2013)

^{**)} Determination based on UPOV (2015)

The results of the observations indicate that there are a variety shape and density of panicles, namely semi loose drooping primary branches, loose drooping primary branches, semi-compact elliptic and compact elliptic (IBPGR/ICRISAT, 2013). Based on UPOV (2015), there are three color groups of glume, namely medium yellow, black and reddish brown, while the color of grains is more diverse, namely light brown, white, red-brown and yellowish white. The grain covering by glume (glume length) also varies, namely very short, short, medium and long (trable 4).

C. Agronomic Character

Number of tFillers and Production

Sorghum is plants that can form tillers. The number of tillers produced depends on soil fertility, groundwater, and other growing environments besides the influence of genetic factors. The number of tillers determines the amount of production because sorghum seedlings can produce grains but the number of tillers should be limited because it can affect the quantity and quality of the seeds of the main plant. As shown in <u>t</u>Pable 5, the number of tillers is not directly proportional to production because the amount of production is also influenced by panicle length, a number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, and weight of 100 grains. Gerik *et al.* (2003) stated that if environmental conditions grow favorably, sorghum can form tillers, both from the upper and lower stem segments. If the tillers are left unchecked, they produce a small number of extra grains but have lower quality and can delay harvesting for several weeks. The highest production was reached by the Sb.Tbn genotype and was not different from the Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Tag 1 genotypes while the lowest production was found in the Spg 1 genotype.

Table 5. Number of Tillers and Production Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Genotype	Number of Tillers		Production (Ton Hektare ⁻¹		
Sb.Pas	3.56	bcd	4.09	bc	
Sb.Lmg 1	2.56	ab	4.28	bc	
Sb.Lmg 2	3.22	abcd	4.44	bc	
Sb.Tbn	2.67	ab	6.87	d	
Sb.Spg 1	3.89	cd	1.94	a	
Sb.Spg 2	2.33	a	3.83	b	
Sb.Tag 1	4.11	d	5.53	cd	

Comment [RP42]: delete

Sb.Tag 2	5.33	e	6.15	d
Sb.Jbg	2.89	abc	4.04	bc
Tukey Test 5 %	1.21		1.63	

Note: The numbers followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test 5%

Flowering <u>a</u>Age, Flowering to <u>h</u>Harvest <u>a</u>Age and Harvest <u>a</u>Age

The diversity of flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age in nine genotypes studied (\underline{t} -table 6), \underline{t} -results shows that each genotype has a different response to its growing environment, especially photoperiodicity. According to Kumar *et al.* (2015), photoperiodicity is an important factor in determining the time of flowering and harvesting. Besides, genetic factors also play a role in determining the life cycle of plants. Lampley *et al.* (2014) said that genotypes have a significant effect on the number of days up to 50% flowering and the number of days up to 95% of physiological maturity.

Based on the maturity there were the age of sorghum harvest, there are two groups, namely early maturing genotypes (Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2) and medium age genotypes (Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2 and Sb.Jbg). This is in line with the study conducted by Tabri, F., and Zubachtirodin (2013) that the age of sorghum harvest is classified into three, namely early maturity (<80 days), medium age (80-100 days) deep age > 100 days). Comment [RP43]: results

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 10 pt

Comment [RP44]: the maturity there were

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

Formatted: Comment Text, Left, Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: Calibri, Font color: Auto

Genotype	Flowering Age (DAP)*)	Flowering to Harvest Age (Day)	Harvest Age (DAP)*)	Age Classification**)
Sb.Pas	45	27	72	Early Maturity
Sb.Lmg 1	46	27	73	Early Maturity
Sb.Lmg 2	48	35	83	Medium Age
Sb.Tbn	50	32	82	Medium Age
Sb.Spg 1	39	45	84	Medium Age
Sb.Spg 2	39	47	86	Medium Age
Sb.Tag 1	50	26	76	Early Maturity
Sb.Tag 2	37	32	69	Early Maturity
Sb.Jbg	50	38	88	Medium Age

Table 6. Flowering Age, Flowering to Harvest Age and Harvest Age Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

Note: *) DAP (Days After Planting)

**) Classification based on Age Classification of Sorghum Varieties (Tabri, F. and Zubachtirodin, 2013)

D. Physiological Characters

Moisture <u>c</u>Content, Ash, Protein, Crude <u>f</u>Fat, Crude <u>f</u>Fiber, Carbohydrates

Nutrients contained in sorghum seeds are determined by nutrients absorbed by roots and rate of accumulation of dry matter in grains derived from assimilates during photosynthesis besides being influenced by genetic factors. Shown in trable 7, the levels of ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates contained in nine genotypes were varied. When compared with nutritional range of sorghum (Andriani and Isnaini, 2013), the ash and crude fiber content was relatively high, the protein varies (the highest is Sb.Spg 1 genotype of 15.30% and the lowest Sb.Tag 1 genotype of 8.97%), medium crude fat (ranged from 2.58% -4.33%) while carbohydrates were below the minimum limit (ranging from 61.27% -69.47%).

Genotype	Dry Weight (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Ash (%)	Protein (%)	Crude Fat (%)	Crude Fiber (%)	Carbohydrat <u>e</u> (%)
Sb.Pas	88.44	11.56	3.66	10.25	3.39	5.03	66.11
Sb.Lmg 1	87.96	12.04	1.53	11.34	2.58	3.04	69.47
Sb.Lmg 2	89.86	10.14	3.58	11.15	3.81	5.12	66.20
Sb.Tbn	90.43	9.57	3.25	10.60	4.33	3.47	68.78
Sb.Spg 1	89.24	10.76	2.20	15.30	3.69	2.54	65.51
Sb.Spg 2	88.01	11.99	4.09	13.13	3.24	6.28	61.27
Sb.Tag 1	88.24	11.76	3.37	8.97	3.74	5.49	66.67
Sb.Tag 2	88.27	11.73	3.59	10.48	3.52	7.14	63.54
Sb.Jbg	87.42	12.58	2.38	10.47	3.87	3.36	67.34
Nutritional Range (Andriani and Isnaini, 2013)			1.00-2.00	11.00-13.00	2.00-5.00	1.00-3.00	70.00-80.00

Table 7. Moisture Content, Ash, Protein, Crude Fat, Crude Fiber, Carbohydrates Nine Local Sorghum Genotype East Java

CONCLUSION

All quantitative characters, including_quantitative morphological characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains) and agronomic characters (number of tillers, production hectare⁻¹, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age) indicate diversity. The highest yield potential was found in the Sb.Tbn and Sb.Tag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains and production hectare⁻¹.

Qualitative characters showed diversity in young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density panicle, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color.

Formatted: Left

Comment [RP45]: delete

Diversity was also shown in physiological characters with the highest protein potential (15.30%) achieved by the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, the highest crude fat (4.33%) achieved by the Sb.Tbn genotype, the highest carbohydrate (69.47%) achieved by Sb.Lmg 1 genotype and the highest crude fiber (7.14%) were achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported financially by the Merdeka Pasuruan College Foundation. I appreciate the technical assistance of the <u>Agro technology</u> <u>Agrotechnology</u> <u>Comment [RP46]: Agro technology</u> Laboratory Merdeka Pasuruan University and the Nutrition Laboratory of the University of Muhammadiyah Malang.

REFERENCE

- Andriani A. <u>-and</u>-Isnaini M. 2013. Morfologi dan Fase Pertumbuhan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Balakrishna D. & Bhat BV. 2015. Biology of Sorghum bicolor (Sorghum). Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and Indian Institute of Millets Research, New Delhi.

Elvira SD, Yusuf M. M& Maiyuslina. 2015. Karakter Agronomi Beberapa Varietas Sorgum pada Lahan Marginal di Aceh Utara. Jurnal Agrium 12(1): 1-4.

Food Security Department. 1999. Sorghum: Post harvest Operations. In: Mejia D and Lewis B. (eds). Post harvest Compendium. Food and Culture Organization of The United Nations.

Gerik T, Brent B, -& Richard. 2003. Sorghum Growth and Development. Texas Cooperative Extension, Texas.

IBPGR and ICRISAT. 1993. Descriptors for sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome.

ICRISAT. 2004. Sorghum, a Crops of Substance. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research, Hyderabad.

- Kimber CT, Dahlberg JA, -&-Kresovich S. 2013. The Gene Pool of *Sorghum bicolor* and Its Improvement. In: Paterson AH (ed). Genomics of the Saccharinae, Plant genetics and genomics: Crops and Models. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Kumar AA, Hari CS, Rajan S, Michael B, P Sanjaya R, <u>and</u> Belum VSR. 2015. Phenotyping in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. In: Panguluri SK and AA Kumar (eds.). Phenotyping for Plant Breeding: Applications of Phenotyping Methods for Crop Improvement. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Lamptey S, G Nyarko, A Falon, <u>and</u>-S Yeboah. 2014. Assessing the Performance of Sorghum Varieties in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. Asian Journal of Agricultural and Food Science 02(01): 64–72.

-Luna P._-and-Widowati S. 2014. Potensi dan Pengembangan Sorgum di Jawa Timur dalam Upaya Gerakan Diversifikasi Pangan Nasional. Balai Besar Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pascapanen Pertanian Bogor.

Mejia D, Lewis B. 1999. Sorghum: Post-harvest Operations. Post-harvest Compendium. Food and Culture Organization of The United Nations, Rome,

Mofokeng MA, G Watson, H Shimelis, and P Tongoona. 2012. Comparison Between Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) And Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) Markers With High-Resolution Melt Analyses In Genetic Variation Analysis Among Selected Sorghum Genotypes. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(102): 16697–16707. DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.2091. **Formatted:** Normal, Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 1,25 cm, No widow/orphan control, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: English (Indonesia)

Comment [RP47]: delete

Comment [RP48]: arrange according to journal's references

- Suarni, and IU Firmansyah. 2013. Struktur, Komposisi Nutrisi dan Teknologi Pengolahan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H_L-and-Suryawati. 2013. Wilayah Penghasil dan Ragam Penggunaan Sorgum di Indonesia. In: Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.

Subagio H. - and-Aqil M. 2014. Perakitan dan Pengembangan Varietas Unggul Sorgum. Iptek Tanaman Pangan 9(1): 39-50.

- Sumarno, -dan-Zuraida N. 2008. Pengelolaan Plasma Nutfah Tanaman Terintegrasi dengan Program Pemuliaan. Buletin Plasma Nutfah 14(2): 57–67.
- Susilowati SH, and Saliem HP. 2013. Perdagangan Sorgum di Pasar Dunia dan Asia serta Prospek Pengembangannya di Indonesia. In : Sumarno, *et al.* (eds). Sorgum : Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.

Tabri F._-and-Zubachtirodin. 2004. Budi Daya Tanaman Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.

UPOV. (2015). Sorghum. In: Guidelines for The Conduct Test for Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability. International Union For The Protection Of New Varieties Of Plants, Geneva.

Wilde, and Voight. 2012. Munsell Tissue Colour Book. Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Zubair A. 2016. Sorghum: Tanaman Multi Manfaat. Unpad Press, Bandung.

			_	
			F	ormatted: Font color: Auto
			F	ormatted: Left: 3 cm, Right: 2
			с	m, Top: 1,8 cm, Bottom: 1,8 cm,
1	Genetic diversity of local sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) genotypes of East Java, Indonesia		V	/idth: 29,7 cm, Height: 21 cm
2	for agro-morphological and physiological traits		F	ormatted: Font: Not Italic Font
		$\langle \cdot \rangle$		olor: Auto
		$ \setminus $		
3	SULISTVAWATI ^{1,♥} DVAH ROESWITAWATI ² IABAL TARIK IRRAHIM ² maetuchah ²	$\langle \rangle$	F	ormatted: Font color: Auto
3 4	SOLIDITAVATI , DIATINOLOVITAVATI , JADAL TANIX IDNATITIVI , MAFTOCHAH		F	ormatted: Font color: Auto
5	2Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Muhammadyah Malang	\backslash	F	ormatted: Font: 16 pt Font color:
6	♥email: mommyandri@gmail.com	$\langle \rangle$	Α	uto
/	Abstract Sorahum (Sorahum higolar (I)) Moonsh) has great potential to be cultivate because it has extensive adeptability, talerant to drought and puddles, can produce on			
0	Abstract. Solghum (Solghum bicolor (L.) Moenen) has great potential to be cultivate because it has extensive adaptability, tolerant to drought and puddles, can produce on marginal land and relatively resistant to pests and diseases. To meet the food requirement, sorghum can be grown in Indonesia as an alternative food source other than rice	/	F	ormatted: Font color: Auto
10	This study aims to obtain information on the agro-morphological and physiological characters of nine local sorghum genotypes in East Java so that they can be used as parents		F	ormatted: Font: 10 pt
11	in improving the nature of varieties. The experiment was conducted in a Randomized Block Design, using nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java in three replications.		_	
12	The nine local sorghum genotypes are Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg The result showed that nine genotypes that			
13	are characterized have a variety of morphological (quantitative and qualitative), agronomic and physiological characters. According to the whole characters observed, there			
14	are five genotypes that are recommended for breeding programs, namely Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag1 and sb.Tag2. This can be proved by the morphological			
15	character, genotype Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1 and Sb.Tag2 have a high value of Agronomy character, genotype Sb.Tbn, Sb.Tag 1 and Sb.Tag 2 noted highest			
16	production acres-' harvest age of genjah and medium; the Physiology character, high protein and carbohydrate substances reached by the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, Sb.Tbn and			
17	Sb.Spg 2. please write Tukey test The results showed that nine genotypes characterized had diverse morphological characters (quantitative and qualitative), agronomic			
18	characters and physiological characters. The highest yield potential was found in the SD.1bh and SD.1ag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle, weight of 100 grains and			
19	production needate . Based on an enaracters used, which genotype has the best characters : which genotype has recommended for breeding program:			
20	Keywords: Genetic variation local genotypes sorghum Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench		Ŀ	ormatted: Font: 10 pt
20	rey words. Genetic variation, focal genotypes, solgham, bolgham blobbl (L.2 woellen		F	ormatted: Font: Not Bold, English
			(l	J.S.)
21	INTRODUCTION			omment [F1]. Please rewrite this
			st	atement
22	To meet the needs of growing population of Indonesia, need to increase the food production. In order to meet the needs of growing population of Indonesia, food	$\langle -$		
23	production needed to be increased. The limited land that is suitable for crop production and global climate change that is difficult to predict is one of the obstacles that must be		F	ormatted: Tab stops: 3,25 cm,
24	faced (Luna and Widowati 2014). Marginal land area in Indonesia noted about 38.7 million acres but only about 58.4% which utilized (Susilowati and Saliem 2013), thus,	$\langle \rangle$		eft
25	there are considerable opportunities for increasing the production and obtain the superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil 2014).		F	ormatted: Font: Times New
26	The development of sorghum in Indonesia has not been optimized yet, the latest data proved to be extensive acreage, production and the needs of sorghum has yet to visibility (Zybair 2016). The vest sorghum of growing conclusion of the providence of the concentration of the providence of the	$\langle \rangle$	R	oman, 10 pt
27	available (Zubair 2016). The vast acreage of growing sofgnum in 2012 according to the Directorate General of Food Crops around 7,095 ha (Subagio and Suryawall 2015), whereas the data from Directorate of Cultivation Grain in 2013 showed that sorghum production in Indonesia at the last 5 years only increase from 6 114 tons to 7 695 tons	$\langle \rangle$	F	ormatted: Font: 10 pt
29	(Subagio and Aqil 2014).	//	÷	armotted Fants 10 pt
30	Marginal land is an alternative to increasing food production with various limitations on the physical and chemical properties of soil. In such conditions, sorghum can still			οιπαττεά: Font: Τυ ρτ
31	grow and produce, so that it can be developed as a local food alternative other than rice (Subagio and Suryawati 2013). In areas that often experience drought or flood	\backslash	F	ormatted: Font: 10 pt
			F	ormatted: Font: 10 pt

inundation, sorghum can still be cultivated, therefore there are considerable opportunities to increase sorghum production and obtain superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and 32 33 Agil 2014). 34

Sorghum is a multipurpose crop, both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber et al. 2013). Beside as a substitute of rice, sorghum flour can also be substituted flour in making breads and cakes. As a livestock feed, sorghum seed used as mixed materials to feed poultry rations, while the stem and leaves are widely used for ruminant livestock. Sorghum seeds had the potential to be used as industrial raw materials of beer, starch, syrup, and ethanol (Luna and Widowati 2014).

As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis 1999). The nutritional content of sorghum compared to other cereals is presented in Table 1.

45

Table 1, Nutrient composition of sorghum and other cereals (per 100 g)

1				Protein						Auto
	Commodity	<u>Ash (g)</u>	<u>Fat (g)</u>	<u>(g)</u>	<u>Carbohydrat</u> (g)	<u>Crude</u> fiber (g)	Energy (kcal)	/	' /	Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Font
	Sorghum	<u>1.6</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>70.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>329.0</u>			Auto
İ	Brown rice	<u>1.3</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>7.9</u>	<u>76.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>362.0</u>		/	Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Font
	Corn	<u>1.2</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>9.2</u>	<u>73.0</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>358.0</u>			Auto
	Wheat	<u>1.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>11.6</u>	<u>71.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>342.0</u>			Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Font
	Millet	26	1.5	77	72.6	3.6	336.0			Auto
6	Source: Directo	rate of Nutriti	on. Indonesia	an Ministry o	f Health (1992).					Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Font

46 47 48

49

50 51

52

There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem 2013). These plants are found in several areas, including Lamongan, Bojonegoro, Tuban and Probolinggo (Talanca and Andayani 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng et al. 2012).

53 The Food Security Agency includes sorghum as one of the supporting commodities for national food diversification. Research results from the Cereals Research Institute showed that sorghum can substitute rice up to 30% with tastes that can be accepted by consumers (Suarni and Firmansyah 2013). In a food self-sufficiency program, the 54 Agricultural Research and Development Agency has made efforts to procure new improved varieties of sorghum, but because the development priorities are still in the rice 55 and corn commodities, 15 varieties have been released from 1960 to 2001, 6 in 2013-2016 (Talanca and Andayani, 2013; Center for Research and Development of Food 56 57 Crops 2013-2016).

58 This fact must be immediately addressed, among other by exploring and collecting of local sorghum genotypes as the first step in efforts to preserve and develop genetic 59 resources and increase the genetic quality of varieties through plant breeding programs. East Java local sorghum genotypes is a plant that has been exist and cultivated 60 hereditary by farmers in the region of East Java (Pasuruan, Lamongan, Tuban, Sampang, Tulungagung and Jombang) and has not been identified yet. This is important to do because the sorghum varieties and local genotypes are being pushed up by other food commodities. Besides that, in breeding programs, the more germplasm collections that 61 62 are owned, the greater the chance to obtain superior gene sources that will be assembled into superior varieties (Sumarno and Zuraida 2004),

Comment [F2]: Please add some informations as follows: large area of marginal land in Indonesia, needs and production of sorghum in Indonesia

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Bold

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm, Space After: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 9 pt

Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Font color:

olor:

olor:

olor:

olor: Auto

Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: 9 pt, English (Indonesia)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: English (Indonesia)

63 64	Based on the developmen necessary to conduct research	nt of sorghum superior h on the study of sever	r variety data in Indonesia and the fact that government efforts are still needed to support the success of food security, it is ral local sorghum genotypes in East Java in order to obtain information on the diversity of each genotype. The result of local		F	Formatted: Font: Bold
65	sorghum germplasm collection		F	Formatted: Font: Bold, Font color: Auto		
66			MATERIALS AND METHODS		F	ormatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
					F	ormatted: Font: Bold
67 68	<u>Study Sites</u> Please follow gu	udance for authors he	ow to write metric measurement	V	F	ormatted: Font: Bold
69 70	The study was conducted level, the average temperatu	d in October 2017 to J re is 29 <u>-</u> ° C −34°C, rai	January 2018 in- <u>Pasuruan City, East Java</u> Pasuruan, East Java, Indonesia which is located at an altitude of 5 m above sea infall averages of 1430 mm year ⁻¹ climatic conditions were obtained from the statistical center of the Pasuruan city 2017.	K	C st	Comment [F3]: Please write more pecific
71 72 73	Planting is done in paddy fie Material	lds with alluvial soil ty	ypes.		F	F ormatted: Font: Times New Roman, 10 pt
74	The tools used to conduc	t this study were farm	ing tools and measuring instruments. The materials used were the seeds of nine local sorghum genotypes obtained from six	\checkmark	F	ormatted: Font: Bold
75 76	genotypes is presented in Fig	e and NPK fertilizer (G	Urea, SP-36, and KCI), pesticides and fungicides. District and genotype names are presented in Table 2. Performance of all		F	ormatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
77 79					F	ormatted: Font: Bold
78 79					F	ormatted: Font: 9 pt, Bold
80				,	/ F	ormatted: Space After: 12 pt
80					F	ormatted: Font: 9 pt
81					F	ormatted: Font: 9 pt
82					F	Formatted: Font: 9 pt
83	Table 2 District and name of n	ine local Sorahum genot	urge Fast Java		F	Formatted: Font: 9 pt
05		The number of	Naming		F	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 9 pt, Font color: Auto
	<u>Number District</u>	genotypes found	<u>genotype</u>		F	ormatted Table
	<u>1 Pasuruan</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Sb.Pas</u>	$\langle \rangle$	F	ormatted: Font color: Auto
	<u>2 Lamongan</u>	2	<u>Sb.Lmg 1</u> <u>Sb.Lmg 2</u>		F	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 9 pt, Font color: Auto
	<u>3 Tuban</u>	1	<u>Sb.Tbn</u>		F	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 9 pt, Font color: Auto
	<u>4 Sampang</u>	2	<u>Sb.Spg 1</u> <u>Sb.Spg 2</u>		F	ormatted
					F	ormatted
			F	ormatted		

	<u>5 Tulungagung 2 Sb.Tag 1</u> <u>Sb.Tag 2</u>	-	Fo Ne	rmatted: Font: (Default) Times w Roman, 9 pt, Font color: Auto
	<u>6 Jombang 1 Sb.Jbg</u>		Fo	rmatted Table
84			Fo Ne	rmatted: Font: (Default) Times w Roman, 9 pt, Font color: Auto
			Fo	rmatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
			Fo	rmatted: Font: Bold
			Fo	rmatted: Font: Not Bold
			Fo	rmatted: Font: Bold
			Fo	rmatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
			Fo (In	r matted: Font: Bold, English donesia)
	Sb Pas Sb Lmg 1 Sb Lmg 2 Sb Tbn Sb Spg 2 Sb Tag 1 Sb Tag 2 Sb Jbg		Co pic wr	mment [F4]: Please present ture of each local genotype and te note of each local genotype
05			Fo Ro	r matted: Font: Times New man, 10 pt
85 86	Figure 1. Performance of panicles nine local Sorghum genotype East Java		Fo	rmatted: Font: Bold
87	Design and Culture Desetion		Fo	rmatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm
88 89 90 91	This study was laid in a Randomized Block Design with three replications and used nine local genotypes of sorghum ₂ , namely Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.T Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg Each unit (plot) has 21 plants with 5 sample plants. All genotypes are planted simultaneously. Planting is done apart v space of 75x15 cm on the trial plots. Treatment includes watering, weeding, piling, controlling pest and disease, and fertilizing.	bn, vith	Fo Ne (In	r matted: Font: (Default) Times w Roman, Bold, English donesia)
92 93	Observation		Fo	rmatted: Font: 10 pt
94 95	Observations were taken on agro-morphological and physiological characters. Morphological characters include quantitative characters (plant height, number of lear stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle- ¹ , grain weight panicle - ¹ , weight of 100 grains) (IBPGR/ICRISAT 1993) -and qualitative characters (young	ves, leaf	Fo Inc	r matted: jbd-abs-gb-tab9, lent: First line: 0,5 cm
96 97	color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, density and shape of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color and grain color) (Wilde and Voight 2012; IBPGR/ICRIS	AT	Fo	rmatted: Font: 10 pt,
98	include moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein, crude fat, and carbohydrates (Salisbury and Cleon 1986),		Fo	rmatted
99 100	A All genotypes are planted simultaneously. Planting is done apart with space of 75 cm x 15 cm on the trial plats. Treatment includes watering, weeding, pil	ino.	Fo	rmatted: Font: Bold
101	controlling pest and disease, and fertilizing.	6,	Fo	rmatted: Font: Bold
102 103	Data Aparalysis??? Data analysis using Analysis of Variance with Minitab Software Version 17 Whether there is a difference between genotypes using Tukey Test of 5%	$ \rightarrow $	Fo	rmatted
100			Fo	rmatted: Font: Bold

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

105 Quantitative Morphological Characters

Based on analysis of variance between-among genotypes for quantitative characters observed, namely plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, the weight of 100 grain shows that the results are significantly different at the level of 5%. According to Elvira et al. (2015), differences in plant growth and production are influenced by internal factors such as genes and hormones that influence growth through inherited traits. External factors such as anticipate, water temperature, humidity, and light also have different influences on the abareatoristics of a plant.

as nutrients, water, temperature, humidity, and light also have different influences on the characteristics of a plant.

110 Plant height, Number of leaves and Stem diameter

Based on the results of the Tukey Test, several genotypes showed differences in plant height, a number of leaves and stem diameter. In <u>T</u>table <u>34</u>, the plant height of the Sb.Tag 1 genotype is higher than the others, which is 331.81 cm. The shortest genotype was Sb.Lmg 1 (153.79 cm) and not different from Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The highest number of leaves was also found in the Sb.Tag 1 genotype, which was 10.93 strands although not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype while the minimum number of leaves were in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype (6.07 strands) and there are several matching genotypes. Stem diameter does not much diverse, some genotypes show similarities and the range of stem diameter was varies from 2.13 cm in Sb.Lmg 2 to 1.26 cm in Sb.Tag 2 genotype.

In general, the genotype Sb. Lmg 1 has the best vegetative characters, indicated by the figure of the plant that are low, great quantities of leaves and larger diameter of stem. A low plant with large diameter makes the plant sturdy and not easily fall in addition to facilitate harvesting. The number of leaves that are widely expected to support the process of photosynthesis.

- 119
- 120
- 121 122

Table 34. Plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter nine local Sorghum genotype East Java

Genotype	Plant Height (cm)		Number of Leaves		Stem Diameter (cm)	
Sb.Pas	213.94	cd	7.93	b	1.36	ab
Sb.Lmg 1	153.79	а	10.53	cd	1.89	ab
Sb.Lmg 2	193.10	bc	9.60	с	2.13	b
Sb.Tbn	290.81	e	9.43	с	1.69	ab
Sb.Spg 1	196.18	cd	6.07	а	1.28	а
Sb.Spg 2	165.68	ab	7.27	ab	1.28	а
Sb.Tag 1	331.81	f	10.93	d	2.00	ab
Sb.Tag 2	223.11	d	6.27	а	1.26	а
Sb.Jbg	280.25	e	9.60	с	1.64	ab
Tukey Test 5%	27.81		1.24		0.77	

123 Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

From the data above, it can be explained that tallest plants not always have many leaves because the sorghum stem consists of segments which are leaf seats. Plant height is influenced by the length of the segment while the number of leaves depends on the number of segments (Balakrishna and <u>Venkatesh</u> Bhat 2015).

The plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter in addition to the genetic characteristics of each genotype are also influenced by environmental factors and photosynthesis in leaves. This result is in line with the result of the Lampley et al. (2014) that differences in plant height, stem diameter, and a number of leaves of some sorghum varieties are influenced by genetic and environmental factors.

131 Please write general statements, which genotype present the best vegetative characters? why?

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt	
Formatted: Font: 10 pt	

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt	
------------------------	--

Formatted:	Font:	10 pt	
------------	-------	-------	--

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,5

Formatted: Font: English

(Indonesia)

cm

.

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (U.S.)

104

¹²⁴ 125

132 Panicle length, Number of grains panicle⁻¹, Grain weight panicle⁻¹ and Weight of 100 grains

Based on observations, the diversity of genotypes can be recognized more clearly in the generative phase. There are differences in the morphology of the nine genotypes studied quantitatively and qualitatively. In <u>T</u>table 42, it can be seen that the Sb.Tag 2 genotype has the longest panicle (46.33 cm) and on par with Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The shortest panicle length is found in the Sb.Jbg genotype (22.22 cm) and was on par with Sb.Tbn and Sb.Lmg 1 genotypes. The more number of grain panicle⁻¹ found Sb.Lmg 2 genotype, which is 3594.07 and not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, while the less number of grains were in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, as many as 1217.47. The Sb.Tbn genotype has the highest grain weight panicle⁻¹, which is equal to 89.84 g and is not different from the Sb.Lmg 2 genotype. The Sb.Spg 1 genotype has a lower grain weight panicle⁻¹ than the other genotypes, which is 33.9 g. The highest weight of 100 grains was achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype, amounting to 3.20 g even though some genotypes matched, while the lowest weight was found in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype was 2.32 g and there were several genotypes were on par with 100 grain weight.

140 In this generative stage, besides influenced by the environment, the role of leaves in producing dry matter during the photosynthesis process is crucial. In general, there is suitability between vegetative and generative growth of nine genotypes, it can be proved that the genotypes which have plant height, number of leaves and stems diameter 141 high tend to produce panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains high. The plant which produces length panicles is not always 142 followed by the number and weight of seeds, it is related to the density of panicles. The number of seeds per panicle-¹ on each of cultivars vary between 800 to 3,000 seeds 143 (du Plesis, 2008). Gerik et al. (2003) explain that the grain size and weight depend on the ability of the plant, especially the leaves to produce dry matter during the grain 144 filling process, 85% of the dry matter produced by leaves during the generative phase is directly distributed to the grains. Besides, weather, soil fertility and groundwater 145 146 influence the size and weight of the grains. Aminon et al. (2015) showed a positive correlation between plant height and number of leaves with production, including panicle 147 length and weight of 100 seeds.

Formatted: Font: Italic
Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto, English (Indonesia)

150 Panicle Length Number of Grain Genotype Grain Weight Panicle⁻¹ (g) Weight of 100 Grains (g) Panicle⁻¹ (cm) Sb.Pas 32.59 2149.53 60.15 3.01 bcd b bc с Sb.Lmg 1 26.20 3217.80 73.95 de 2.47 ab а e Sb.Lmg 2 39.00 2.59 3594.07 87.86 f ab с е Sb.Tbn 24.07 89.84 а 2661.33 d f 2.65 abc 2.32 Sb.Spg 1 38.46 1217.47 33.90 с а а а 2.65 Sb.Spg 2 42.39 cd 2421.07 cd 52.59 h abc Sb.Tag 1 41.45 2736.07 d 76.13 e 3.16 cd с Sb.Tag 2 46.33 d 1841.87 b 55.03 b 3.20 d Sb.Jbg 22.22 а 2588.87 d 72.13 d 2.89 bcd 4.86 3.07 0.55 Tukey Test 5% 421.77

Table 42. Panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains nine local Sorghum genotype East Java, Indonesia

151 Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

152 Please write general statements, which genotype present the best generative characters? why?

153 Qualitative Morphological Characters

148

149

154 Qualitative morphological characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color, and grain color) in the nine observed genotypes showed diversity. This diversity is influenced by plant genetic factors and environmental factors. This result is in line with the result of Zubair (2016), contributors to the phenotypic variability (appearance) of an individual plant are genetic variation, environmental variations, and genetic and environmental interactions.

158 Young leaf color, old leaf color, and leaf bone color

159 In table 3, T the young leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 6/6, 5 GY 6/8 and 5 GY 5/4. The old leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 5/4 and 5 GY 4/4 colors while the leaf bone

160 is dominated by 2.5 GY 8/6 colors, 2.5 GY 8/8 and 2.5 GY 8/4 (Table 53). This indicates that each genotype has a specific leaf character.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto, English (U.S.) Formatted: Font: Bold

161	
162	Table 53. Young leaf colors, old leaf colors and leaf bone colors nine local sorghum genotype East Java, Indonesia
163	

Genotype	Young Leaf Color ^{*)}	Old Leaf Color ^{*)}	Leaf Bone Color ^{*)}
Sb.Pas	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Lmg 1	66.67% 5 GY 6/8 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 4/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4
Sb.Lmg 2	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	66.67% 5 GY 4/6 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Tbn	26.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	53.33% 2.5 GY 8/4 + 46.67% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Spg 1	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
Sb.Spg 2	100% 5 GY 6/8	100% 5 GY 5/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
Sb.Tag 1	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 6/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sb.Tag 2	33.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 4/4	26.67% 2.5 GY 8/8 + 73.33% 2.5 GY 8/6
Sh Ibo	33 33% 5 GY 6/8 + 66 67% 5 GY 6/6	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4

165

Each plant has a difference in expressing the genetic code it receives. The difference in color of young leaves, old leaves and leaf bones is influenced by differences in the content of chlorophyll pigments. In higher plants there are two kinds of chlorophyll, namely chlorophyll a which is dark green and chlorophyll b which is light green. The ability of chlorophyll biosynthesis of each species and cultivar is also different (Salisbury and Cleon, 1986; Taiz, 2002; Hasidah, 2017).

169 Shape and density of panicle, Grain covering (Glume length), Glume color and Grain color

170 The results indicate that there are a variety shape and density of panicles, namely semi loose drooping primary branches, loose drooping primary branches, very loose

drooping primary branches, semi-compact elliptic and compact elliptic (IBPGR/ICRISAT, <u>1992013</u>), Based on UPOV (2015), there are three color groups of glume, namely

medium yellow, black and reddish brown, while the color of grains is more diverse, namely light brown, white, red-brown and yellowish white. The grain covering by glume (glume length) also varies, namely very short, short, medium and long (Ttable 64).

174

175 Table 64. Shape and density of panicles, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color nine local Sorghum genotype East Java

Genotype	Shape and Density of Panicles ^{*)}	Grain Covering (Glume Length) ***)	Glume Color **)	Grain Color **)		Formatted
Sb.Pas	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown	-	
Sb.Lmg 1	Compact elliptic	Very short (25%)	Black	White		
Sb.Lmg 2	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown		
Sb.Tbn	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown		
Sb.Spg 1	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown		
Sb.Spg 2	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown		
Sb.Tag 1	Very loose drooping primary branches	Short (50%)	Black	Yellowish white		
Sb.Tag 2	Very loose drooping primary branches	Long (100%)	Reddish brown	Yellowish white		
Sb.Jbg	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown		Formatted: Font: 10 pt
176 Note : *) Determin	ation based on IBPGR-/-ICRISAT (<u>199</u> 2013). ***) Determination base	ed on UPOV (2015)				(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
177						Formatted: Indent: First line:

178 The difference of sorghum genotype can be identified more clearly in the generative phase compared to vegetaif phase. The nature of the panicle, husk and seed can be used as a parameter to distinguish the characteristics of each genotypes (Kusumawati et al. 2013). Panicle compactness, glume color, the presence of fur and grain color is the most qualitative properties varies between cultivars (Aminon et al. 2015). Sorghum panicle shaped solid or open. Seed is partially or completely covered by the husk. The

181 seed color is red, white, yellow, brown; and the husk color is black, red or brown (du Plesis 2008),

182

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,75 cm Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: Bold Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Indent: First line: 0,75 cm Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, English (Indonesia)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

183 Agronomic Character

184 Number of tillers and grain pProduction

Sorghum is plants that can form tillers. The number of tillers produced depends on soil fertility, groundwater, and other growing environments besides the influence of genetic factors. The number of tillers determines the amount of production because sorghum seedlings can produce grains but the number of tillers should be limited because it can affect the quantity and quality of the seeds of the main plant (House 1985; Andriani and Isnaini 2013). Gerik et al. (2003) stated that if environmental conditions grow favorably, sorghum can form tillers, both from the upper and lower stem segments. If the tillers are left unchecked, they produce a small number of extra grains but have lower quality and can delay harvesting for several weeks.

As shown in <u>T</u>table <u>75</u>, the number of tillers is not directly proportional to production because the amount of production is also influenced by panicle length, a number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, and weight of 100 grains. <u>This is consistent with the research of Yoseph and Sorsa (2014).</u> <u>Gerik et al. (2003) stated that if</u> environmental conditions grow favorably, sorghum can form tillers, both from the upper and lower stem segments. If the tillers are left unchecked, they produce a small number of extra grains but have lower quality and can delay harvesting for several weeks. The highest production was reached by the Sb.Tbn genotype and was not different from the Sb.Tag 1 genotypes while the lowest production was found in the Spg 1 genotype.

195

196Table 75. Number of tillers and production nine local sorghum genotype East Java, Indonesia197

Genotype	Number of Tillers	Grain Production (Ton Hektare ⁻¹)
Sb.Pas	3.56 bcd	4.09 bc
Sb.Lmg 1	2.56 ab	4.28 bc
Sb.Lmg 2	3.22 abcd	4.44 bc
Sb.Tbn	2.67 ab	6.87 d
Sb.Spg 1	3.89 cd	1.94 a
Sb.Spg 2	2.33 a	3.83 b
Sb.Tag 1	4.11 d	5.53 cd
Sb.Tag 2	5.33 e	6.15 d
Sb.Jbg	2.89 abc	4.04 bc
Tukey Test 5 %	1.21	1.63

198 Note: The numbers followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test 5%

199 *Flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age*

The diversity of flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age in nine genotypes studied (table 6), results shows that each genotype has a different response to its growing environment, especially photoperiodicity (Table 86). Sorghum is a short day plant, meaning that plants require short days (long nights) before proceeding to the stage of reproduction. A very optimal to induce the formation of flowers is 10 to 11 hours. The photoperiode is longer than 11 to 12 hours of stimulating the growth of vegetative (House 1985; du Plesis 2008). According to Kumar et al. (20135), photoperiodicity is an important factor in determining the time of flowering and harvesting. Besides, genetic factors also play a role in

determining the life cycle of plants. <u>Each variety has a different critical photoperiod (Kumar 2016)</u>. Lampley et al. (2014) said that genotypes have a significant effect on the number of days up to 50% flowering and the number of days up to 95% of physiological maturity.

207 Based on the maturity there were two groups, namely early maturing genotypes (Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2) and medium age genotypes (Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn,

208 Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2 and Sb.Jbg). This is in line with the study conducted by Tabri, F., and Zubachtirodin (2013) that the age of sorghum harvest is classified into three, 209 namely early maturity (<80 days), medium age (80-100 days) deep age > 100 days).

210 211	Table <u>8</u> 6. Flowe	ering age, flowering to harvest age and	l harvest age nine local Sorghum genotype East Java, l	Indonesia		
	Genotype	Flowering Age (DAP)*)	Flowering to Harvest Age (Day)	Harvest Age (DAP)*)	Age Classification**)	

Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Comment [F5]: Did you measure

Formatted

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted

Sb.Pas	45	27	72	Early Maturity	
Sb.Lmg 1	46	27	73	Early Maturity	•
Sb.Lmg 2	48	35	83	Medium Age	•
Sb.Tbn	50	32	82	Medium Age	•
Sb.Spg 1	39	45	84	Medium Age	•
Sb.Spg 2	39	47	86	Medium Age	•
Sb.Tag 1	50	26	76	Early Maturity	▲
Sb.Tag 2	37	32	69	Early Maturity	•
Sb.Jbg	50	38	88	Medium Age	A 1

212 Note: *) DAP (Days After Planting). **) Classification based on Age <u>c</u>-lassification of <u>s</u>orghum <u>v</u>-varieties (Tabri, <u>F</u>, and Zubachtirodin, 2013)

213 Physiological Characters – Moisture content, Ash, Protein, Crude fat, Crude fiber, Carbohydrates

Nutrients contained in sorghum seeds are determined by nutrients absorbed by roots and rate of accumulation of dry matter in grains derived from assimilates during photosynthesis besides being influenced by genetic factors. Asimilat in sorghum plants in the form of nutrient content including ash content, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates (Salisbury and Cleon 1986). Shown in table 7, Tthe levels of ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates contained in nine genotypes were varied (Table 97). When compared with nutritional range of sorghum (Andriani and Isnaini 2013), the ash and crude fiber content was relatively high, the protein varies (the highest is Sb.Spg 1 genotype of 15.30% and the lowest Sb.Tag 1 genotype of 8.97%), medium crude fat (ranged from 2.58% -4.33%) while carbohydrates were below the minimum limit (ranging from 61.27% -69.47%). Why?? Please explain 200 221 Table 97. Moisture content, ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber, carbohydrates nine local sorghum genotype East Java, indonesia

222

Genotype	Dry Weight (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Ash (%)	Protein (%)	Crude Fat (%)	Crude Fiber (%)	Carbohydrate (%)
Sb.Pas	88.44	11.56	3.66	10.25	3.39	5.03	66.11
Sb.Lmg 1	87.96	12.04	1.53	11.34	2.58	3.04	69.47
Sb.Lmg 2	89.86	10.14	3.58	11.15	3.81	5.12	66.20
Sb.Tbn	90.43	9.57	3.25	10.60	4.33	3.47	68.78
Sb.Spg 1	89.24	10.76	2.20	15.30	3.69	2.54	65.51
Sb.Spg 2	88.01	11.99	4.09	13.13	3.24	6.28	61.27
Sb.Tag 1	88.24	11.76	3.37	8.97	3.74	5.49	66.67
Sb.Tag 2	88.27	11.73	3.59	10.48	3.52	7.14	63.54
Sb.Jbg	87.42	12.58	2.38	10.47	3.87	3.36	67.34
Nutritional Range (Andriani and Isnaini 2013)			1.00-2.00	11.00-13.00	2.00-5.00	1.00-3.00	70.00-80.00

223 <u>Note : Ash content based on AOAC 2005, protein based on SNI-2891-1992 Item 7.1, crude fat based on SNI-2891-1992 Item 8.1, crude fiber based on SNI-2891-1992 Item 11, 224</u>

In conclusion, all quantitative characters, including morphological characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹,
 grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains) and agronomic characters (number of tillers, production hectare⁻¹, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age)
 indicate diversity. Qualitative characters showed diversity in young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density panicle, grain covering (glume length), glume
 color and grain color. Diversity was also shown in physiological characters with the highest protein potential (15.30%) achieved by the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, the highest crude
 fat (4.33%) achieved by the Sb.Tbn genotype, the highest carbohydrate (69.47%) achieved by Sb.Lmg 1 genotype and the highest crude fiber (7.14%) were achieved by the
 Sb.Tag 2 genotype.
 Based on all characters used, there are five genotypes that can be recommended for breeding programs, namely Sb. Lmg 1, Sb Tbn., Sb.Spg 2. Sb.Tag 1 and Sb.Tag

232 2. This can be proved by the morphological character, genotype Sb. Lmg1, Sb Tbn, Sb.Spg 2, Sb. Tag1 and Sb. Tag2 that has a high value; according to agronomic characters,

233 genotype Sb. Tbn, Sb.Tag 1 and Sb. Tag 2 recorded highest production acres-1 with harvest age of short and medium, based on physiological characteristics, genotypes

234 Sb.Lmg 1 and Sb.Spg 2 have the highest carbohydrate and protein content high.

Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted
Formatted: Centered
Formatted
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto, English (U.S.)
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Left

Formatted: Font: 9 pt

Formatted: Justified

0 cm, Hanging: 1 cm

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 9 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Centered, Indent: Left:

		/	Formatted
005			Formatted
235 236	<u>The highest yield potential was found in the Sb. I bn and Sb. I ag 2 genotypes through grain weight panicle</u> , weight of 100 grains and production hectare.		Comment [F6]: I or We?
237	color. Diversity was also shown in physiological characters with the highest protein potential (15.30%) achieved by the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, the highest crude fat (4.33%)		Formatted
238	achieved by the Sb.Tbn genotype, the highest carbohydrate (69.47%) achieved by Sb.Lmg 1 genotype and the highest crude fiber (7.14%) were achieved by the Sb.Tag 2		Formatted
240	Based on all characters used, which genotype has the best characters?? which genotype has recommended for breeding program?		Formatted
		'	
0.4.1			Formatted
241	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		Formatted
242	This research was supported financially by the Merdeka Pasuruan College Foundation. We Happreciate the technical assistance of the Agro technology Laboratory		Formatted
243	Merdeka Pasuruan University and the Nutrition Laboratory of the University of Muhammadiyah Malang.		Formatted
			Formatted
244	REFERENCES		Formatted
245	Aminon ID Loko IV Adiatin A Ewédiè EERK Danci A Rakshit S Cissé N Patil IV Aghangla C Sanni A Akoègninou A Akpagana K 2015 Genetic divergence in		Formatted
246	Northern Benin sorghum (Sorghum bicolor, L. Moench) landraces as revealed by agromorphological traits and selection of candidate genotypes. The Sci World J 10:1-10,		Formatted
247	Andriani A, Isnaini M. 2013, Morphology and growth phases of sorghum. In: Sumarno et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development-Morfologi dan Fase		romatted
248	Pertumbuhan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.		Formatted
249	Balakrishna D, Bhat BV. 2015. Biology of Sorghum bicolor (Sorghum). Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and Indian Institute of Millets Research, New	$\langle \rangle$	Formatted
250 251	Delhi. du Plessis L 2008, Sorohum Production, Departement Agriculture Republic of South Africa		Formatted
252	Elvira SD, Yusuf M, Maiyuslina, 2015, Agronomic characteristics of several sorghum varieties on marginal land in North Aceh Karakter Agronomi Beberapa Varietas		
253	Sorgum pada Lahan Marginal di Aceh Utara. Jurnal Agrium 12(1): 1-4.		Formatted
254	Gerik T, Beanrent B, Vanderlip Richard. 2003Sorghum Growth and Development. Texas Cooperative Extension, Texas.		Formatted
255	Hasidah, Mukarlina, Rousdy DW. 2017. Chlorophyll, carotenoid and anthocyanin content of caladium leaves. Protobiont J 6 (2) : 29 – 37.		Formatted
256	House LR. 1985. A guide to Sorghum Breeding, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. ICRISAT Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh India.	\sim	Formatted
258	ICRISAT 2004 Sorghum a Crops of Substance. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research - Hyderabad India	\mathbb{A}	romatteu
259	Kimber CT, Dahlberg JA, Kresovich S. 2013. The gGene pPool of Sorghum bicolor and ilts ilmprovement. In: Paterson AH (ed). Genomics of the Saccharinae, Plant genetics	\mathbb{N}	Formatted
260	and genomics: Crops and Models. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.	-/// `	Formatted
261	Kumar AA, Hari Sharma HCS, Rajan S, Sarma R, Blummel Michael B, Reddy PS-Sanjaya R, Belum VSReddy BVS. 2015. Phenotyping in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.)	- // /	Formatted
262	Moench]. In: Panguluri SK, <u>and AA-Kumar AA</u> (eds.). Phenotyping for Plant Breeding: Applications of Phenotyping Methods for Crop Improvement. © Springer	//	Formation d
203 264	Science+Dusiness Media, New 10rk. Kusumawati A Putri NE Suliansvah I 2003 Characterization and evaluation of several sorthum genotypes (Sarahum hicalar I) in Sukarami Solok district I Agrotek 4(1):		Formatted
265	7-12.		Formatted
266	Lamptey S, G-Nyarko G, A-Falon A, S-Yeboah S. 2014. Assessing the performance of sorghum varieties in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. Asian Journal of Agricultural	$\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$	Formatted
267	and Food Science 02(01): 64–72.		Formattod
268	Luna P, Widowati S. 2014. The potential and development of sorghum in East Java in the efforts of the national food diversification movement. Postharvest Agricultural	//	ronnalleu
269	Research and Development Center. Potensi dan Pengembangan Sorgum di Jawa Timur dalam Upaya Gerakan Diversifikasi Pangan Nasional. Balai Besar Penelitian dan		Formatted
270	rengemoangan rascapanen renaman Bogor.		Field Code Changed

....

....

...

...

271	Bogor.

- 272 Mejia D, Lewis B. 1999. Sorghum: Post-harvest Operations. Post-harvest Compendium. Food and Culture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.
- 274 markers with high-resolution melt analyses in genetic variation analysis among selected sorghum genotypes. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(102): 16697–16707. 275 DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.2091.
- 276 Salisbury FB, Ross CW. 1992. Plant Physiology. Wasdword Publishing Co. A division of Wasdword Inc.
- Suarni, HU-Firmansyah<u>IU</u>. 2013, <u>Structure</u>, nutrition composition and sorghum processing technology. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development-Struktur, Komposisi Nutrisi dan Teknologi Pengolahan Sorgum. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H, Suryawati. 2013, Producing areas and variety of sorghum use in Indonesia. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development-Wilayah
 Penghasil dan Ragam Penggunaan Sorgum di Indonesia. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H, Aqil M. 2014. <u>Assembly and development of sorghum superior varieties</u>, Food Crop Sci and Tech Perakitan dan Pengembangan Varietas Unggul Sorgum. Iptek
 Tanaman Pangan 9(1): 39–50.
- Sumarno, Zuraida N. 2008. <u>Management of plant germplasm integrated with breeding programs. Germplasm Bulletin Pengelolaan Plasma Nutfah Tanaman Terintegrasi</u> dengan Program Pemuliaan. Buletin Plasma Nutfah 14(2): 57–67.
- Susilowati SH, Saliem HP. 2013. Sorghum trade in world and Asian markets and prospects for development in Indonesia. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology
 <u>Innovation and Development</u>. Perdagangan Sorgum di Pasar Dunia dan Asia serta Prospek Pengembangannya di Indonesia. In : Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum : Inovasi
 Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Tabri F, Zubachtirodin. 2004, Sorghum plant cultivation. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development-Budi Daya Tanaman Sorgum. In:
 Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorgum: Inovasi Teknologi dan Pengembangan. IAARD Press, Jakarta,
- 291
 Talanca AH, Andriani NN. 2013. Development of sorghum variety assembly in Indonesia. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development.

 292
 IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- 293 Taiz L, Zeiger E. 2002. Plant Physiology. Sianuer Associates.-
- UPOV. (2015). Sorghum. In: Guidelines for The Conduct Test for Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability. International Union For The Protection Of New Varieties Of Plants,
 Geneva.
- 296 Wilde, Voight. 2012. Munsell Tissue Colour Book. Grand Rapids, Michigan.
- 297 Yoseph T, Sorsa Z. 2014. Evaluation of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) varieties, for yield and yield components at Kako, Southern Ethiopia. J Plant Sci 2014; 2(4):
 298 129-133.
- 299 Zubair A. 2016, <u>Sorghum: Multi-benefit Plant Sorghum: Tanaman Multi Manfaat</u>. Unpad Press, Bandung.

300

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: English (Indonesia)
- Formatted: English (Indonesia)

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: Italic
Formatted: English (Indonesia)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

BIODIVERSITAS Volume 20, Number 9, September 2019 Pages: xxxx

Genetic diversity of local sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) genotypes of East Java, Indonesia for agro-morphological and physiological traits

SULISTYAWATI^{1,•}, DYAH ROESWITAWATI², JABAL TARIK IBRAHIM², MAFTUCHAH² ¹Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Merdeka Pasuruan. Jl. Ir. H. Juanda No. 68, Pasuruan City 67129, East Java, Indonesia

²Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science, University of Muhammadiyah Malang. Jl. Raya Tlogomas 246, Malang East Java, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-341-464318, Fax.: +62-341-460435, ⁴email: mommyandri@gmail.com

Manuscript received: 20 May 2019. Revision accepted: xxx August 2019.

Abstract. *Sulistyawati, Roeswitawati D, Ibrahim JT, Maftuchah.* 2019. *Genetic diversity of local sorghum* (Sorghum bicolor) *genotypes of East Java, Indonesia for agro-morphological and physiological traits. Biodiversitas* 20: xxxx. Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) has great potential to be cultivate because it has extensive adaptability, tolerant to drought and puddles, can produce on marginal land and relatively resistant to pests and diseases. To meet the food requirement, sorghum can be grown in Indonesia as an alternative food source other than rice. This study aims to obtain information on the agro-morphological and physiological characters of nine local sorghum genotypes in East Java, Indonesia so that they can be used as parents in improving the nature of varieties. The experiment was conducted in a Randomized Block Design, using nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java in three replications. The nine local sorghum genotypes are Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Jbg. The result showed that nine genotypes that are characterized have a variety of morphological (quantitative and qualitative), agronomic and physiological characters. According to the whole characters observed, there are five genotypes that are recommended for breeding programs, namely Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tpg 2, Sb.Tag 1 and Sb.Tag 2 have a high value of Agronomy character, genotype Sb.Tbn, Sb.Tag 1 and Sb.Tag 2 noted highest production acres-¹ harvest age of genjah and medium; the Physiology character, high protein and carbohydrate substances reached by the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, Sb.Tbn and Sb.Spg 2.

Keywords: Genetic variation, local genotypes, sorghum, Sorghum bicolor

INTRODUCTION

In order to meet the needs of growing population of Indonesia, food production needed to be increased. The limited land that is suitable for crop production and global climate change that is difficult to predict is one of the obstacles that must be faced (Luna and Widowati 2014). Marginal land area in Indonesia noted about 38.7 million acres but only about 58.4% which utilized (Susilowati and Saliem 2013), thus, there are considerable opportunities for increasing the production and obtain the superior sorghum varieties (Subagio and Aqil 2014).

The development of sorghum in Indonesia has not been optimized yet, the latest data proved to be extensive acreage, production and the needs of sorghum has yet to available (Zubair 2016). The vast acreage of growing sorghum in 2012 according to the Directorate General of Food Crops around 7,695 ha (Subagio and Suryawati 2013), whereas, the data from Directorate of Cultivation Grain in 2013 showed that sorghum production in Indonesia at the last 5 years only increase from 6,114 tons to 7,695 tons (Subagio and Aqil 2014).

Sorghum is a multipurpose crop, both as food, feed, and processed industrial materials (Kimber et al. 2013). Beside as a substitute of rice, sorghum flour can also be substituted flour in making breads and cakes. As a livestock feed, sorghum seed used as mixed materials to feed poultry rations, while the stem and leaves are widely used for ruminant livestock. Sorghum seeds had the potential to be used as industrial raw materials of beer, starch, syrup, and ethanol (Luna and Widowati 2014).

As a food ingredient, sorghum nutrition is not much different from other cereals (ICRISAT 2004). In general, protein levels of sorghum are higher than corn, brown rice, and millet but lower than wheat. The fat content of sorghum is higher than brown rice, wheat, millet but lower than corn (Mejia and Lewis 1999). The nutritional content of sorghum compared to other cereals is presented in Table 1.

There is less sorghum crop improvement work at Indonesia. In East Java, there are still wild sorghum genotypes that have not been identified and characterized (Susilowati and Saliem 2013). These plants are found in several areas, including Lamongan, Bojonegoro, Tuban and Probolinggo (Talanca and Andayani 2013). Identification of wild genotypes and existing accessions needs to be done in order to develop local sorghum cultivars. Identification and characterization are the first steps used to find plant genetic variation in the development of a type of superior cultivar through breeding. Without diversity, improvement in the nature of a plant is not possible (Mofokeng et al. 2012). **Table 1.** Nutrient composition of sorghum and other cereals (per100 g)

Commodity	Ach (a)	Fat	Protein	Carbo-	Crude	Energy
Commonly	Asii (g)	(g)	(g)	hydrate (g)	fiber (g)	(kcal)
Sorghum	1.6	3.1	10.4	70.7	2.0	329.0
Brown rice	1.3	2.7	7.9	76.0	1.0	362.0
Corn	1.2	4.6	9.2	73.0	2.8	358.0
Wheat	1.6	2.0	11.6	71.0	2.0	342.0
Millet	2.6	1.5	7.7	72.6	3.6	336.0
a D'		C			* * * .	C TT 1.1

Source: Directorate of Nutrition, Indonesian Ministry of Health (1992)

The Food Security Agency includes sorghum as one of the supporting commodities for national food diversification. Research results from the Cereals Research Institute showed that sorghum can substitute rice up to 30% with tastes that can be accepted by consumers (Suarni and Firmansyah 2013). In a food self-sufficiency program, the Agricultural Research and Development Agency has made efforts to procure new improved varieties of sorghum, but because the development priorities are still in the rice and corn commodities, 15 varieties have been released from 1960 to 2001, 6 in 2013-2016 (Talanca and Andayani, 2013; Center for Research and Development of Food Crops 2013-2016).

This fact must be immediately addressed, among other by exploring and collecting of local sorghum genotypes as the first step in efforts to preserve and develop genetic resources and increase the genetic quality of varieties through plant breeding programs. East Java local sorghum genotypes is a plant that has been exist and cultivated hereditary by farmers in the region of East Java (Pasuruan, Lamongan, Tuban, Sampang, Tulungagung and Jombang) and has not been identified yet. This is important to do because the sorghum varieties and local genotypes are being pushed up by other food commodities. Besides that, in breeding programs, the more germplasm collections that are owned, the greater the chance to obtain superior gene sources that will be assembled into superior varieties (Sumarno and Zuraida 2004).

Based on the development of sorghum superior variety data in Indonesia and the fact that government efforts are still needed to support the success of food security, it is necessary to conduct research on the study of several local sorghum genotypes in East Java in order to obtain information on the diversity of each genotype. The result of local sorghum germplasm collection will be useful as parents in breeding programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sites

The study was conducted in October 2017 to January 2018 in Pasuruan City, East Java, Indonesia which is located at an altitude of 5 m above sea level, the average temperature is 29-34°C, rainfall averages of 1430 mm year

¹ (climatic conditions were obtained from the statistical center of the Pasuruan city 2017. Planting is done in paddy fields with alluvial soil types.

Materials

The tools used to conduct this study were farming tools and measuring instruments. The materials used were the seeds of nine local sorghum genotypes obtained from six districts in East Java, manure and NPK fertilizer (Urea, SP-36, and KCl), pesticides and fungicides. District and genotype names are presented in Table 2. Performance of all genotypes is presented in Figure 1.

Design and culture practice

This study was laid in a Randomized Block Design with three replications and used nine local genotypes of sorghum. Each unit (plot) has 21 plants with 5 sample plants. All genotypes are planted simultaneously. Planting is done apart with space of 75x15 cm on the trial plots. Treatment includes watering, weeding, piling, controlling pest and disease, and fertilizing.

Observation

Observations were taken on agro-morphological and physiological characters. Morphological characters include quantitative characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle-¹, grain weight panicle -¹, weight of 100 grains) (IBPGR/ICRISAT 1993) and qualitative characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, density and shape of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color and grain color) (Wilde and Voight 2012; IBPGR/ICRISAT 1993; UPOV 2015). Agronomic characters include a number of tillers, grain production, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age. Physiological characters include moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein, crude fat, and carbohydrates (Salisbury and Cleon 1986).

Data analysis

Data analysis using Analysis of Variance with Minitab Software Version 17. Whether there is a difference between genotypes using Tukey Test of 5%

 Table 2. District and name of nine local sorghum genotypes from

 East Java, Indonesia

District	The number of genotypes found	Naming genotype
Pasuruan	1	Sb.Pas
Lamongan	2	Sb.Lmg 1 Sb.Lmg 2
Tuban	1	Sb.Tbn
Sampang	2	Sb.Spg 1 Sb.Spg 2
Tulungagung	2	Sb.Tag 1 Sb.Tag 2
Jombang	1	Sb.Jbg



Figure 1. Performance of panicles nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Quantitative morphological characters

Based on analysis of variance among genotypes for quantitative characters observed, namely plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, the weight of 100 grain shows that the results are significantly different at the level of 5%. According to Elvira et al. (2015), differences in plant growth and production are influenced by internal factors such as genes and hormones that influence growth through inherited traits. External factors such as nutrients, water, temperature, humidity, and light also have different influences on the characteristics of a plant.

Plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter

Based on the results of the Tukey Test, several genotypes showed differences in plant height, a number of leaves and stem diameter. In Table 3, the plant height of the Sb.Tag 1 genotype is higher than the others, which is 331.81 cm. The shortest genotype was Sb.Lmg 1 (153.79 cm) and not different from Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The highest number of leaves was also found in the Sb.Tag 1 genotype, which was 10.93 strands although not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype while the minimum number of leaves were in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype (6.07 strands) and there are

several matching genotypes. Stem diameter does not much diverse, some genotypes show similarities and the range of stem diameter was varies from 2.13 cm in Sb.Lmg 2 to 1.26 cm in Sb.Tag 2 genotype.

In general, the genotype Sb. Lmg 1 has the best vegetative characters, indicated by the figure of the plant that are low, great quantities of leaves and larger diameter of stem. A low plant with large diameter makes the plant sturdy and not easily fall in addition to facilitate harvesting. The number of leaves that are widely expected to support the process of photosynthesis.

From the data above, it can be explained that tallest plants not always have many leaves because the sorghum stem consists of segments which are leaf seats. Plant height is influenced by the length of the segment while the number of leaves depends on the number of segments (Balakrishna and Bhat 2015).

The plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter in addition to the genetic characteristics of each genotype are also influenced by environmental factors and photosynthesis in leaves. This result is in line with the result of the Lampley et al. (2014) that differences in plant height, stem diameter, and a number of leaves of some sorghum varieties are influenced by genetic and environmental factors.

BIODIVERSITAS 20 (9): xxx, September 2019

Genotype	Plant height (cm)		Number of leaves		Stem diameter (cm)	
Sb.Pas	213.94	cd	7.93	b	1.36	ab
Sb.Lmg 1	153.79	a	10.53	cd	1.89	ab
Sb.Lmg 2	193.10	bc	9.60	с	2.13	b
Sb.Tbn	290.81	e	9.43	с	1.69	ab
Sb.Spg 1	196.18	cd	6.07	а	1.28	a
Sb.Spg 2	165.68	ab	7.27	ab	1.28	a
Sb.Tag 1	331.81	f	10.93	d	2.00	ab
Sb.Tag 2	223.11	d	6.27	a	1.26	а
Sb.Jbg	280.25	e	9.60	c	1.64	ab
Tukey Test 5%	27.81		1.24		0.77	

Table 3. Plant height, number of leaves and stem diameter nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

Table 4. Panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

Cenatype	Panicle length	Number of grain	Grain weight panicle ⁻¹	Weight of 100 grains
Genotype	(cm)	panicle ⁻¹	(g)	(g)
Sb.Pas	32.59 b	2149.53 bc	60.15 c	3.01 bcd
Sb.Lmg 1	26.20 a	3217.80 e	73.95 de	2.47 ab
Sb.Lmg 2	39.00 c	3594.07 e	87.86 f	2.59 ab
Sb.Tbn	24.07 a	2661.33 d	89.84 f	2.65 abc
Sb.Spg 1	38.46 c	1217.47 a	33.90 a	2.32 a
Sb.Spg 2	42.39 cd	2421.07 cd	52.59 b	2.65 abc
Sb.Tag 1	41.45 c	2736.07 d	76.13 e	3.16 cd
Sb.Tag 2	46.33 d	1841.87 b	55.03 b	3.20 d
Sb.Jbg	22.22 a	2588.87 d	72.13 d	2.89 bcd
Tukey Test 5%	4.86	421.77	3.07	0.55

Note: The number followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test of 5%

Panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains

Based on observations, the diversity of genotypes can be recognized more clearly in the generative phase. There are differences in the morphology of the nine genotypes studied quantitatively and qualitatively. In Table 4, it can be seen that the Sb.Tag 2 genotype has the longest panicle (46.33 cm) and on par with Sb.Spg 2 genotype. The shortest panicle length is found in the Sb.Jbg genotype (22.22 cm) and was on par with Sb.Tbn and Sb.Lmg 1 genotypes. The more number of grain panicle⁻¹ found Sb.Lmg 2 genotype, which is 3594.07 and not different from the Sb.Lmg 1 genotype, while the less number of grains were in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, as many as 1217.47. The Sb.Tbn genotype has the highest grain weight panicle , which is equal to 89.84 g and is not different from the Sb.Lmg 2 genotype. The Sb.Spg 1 genotype has a lower grain weight panicle⁻¹ than the other genotypes, which is 33.9 g. The highest weight of 100 grains was achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype, amounting to 3.20 g even though some genotypes matched, while the lowest weight was found in the Sb.Spg 1 genotype was 2.32 g and there were several genotypes were on par with 100 grain weight.

In this generative stage, besides influenced by the environment, the role of leaves in producing dry matter during the photosynthesis process is crucial. In general, there is suitability between vegetative and generative growth of nine genotypes, it can be proved that the genotypes which have plant height, number of leaves and stems diameter high tend to produce panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹ and weight of 100 grains high. The plant which produces length panicles is not always followed by the number and weight of seeds, it is related to the density of panicles. The number of seeds per panicle-¹ on each of cultivars vary between 800 to 3,000 seeds (du Plesis, 2008). Gerik et al. (2003) explain that the grain size and weight depend on the ability of the plant, especially the leaves to produce dry matter during the grain filling process, 85% of the dry matter produced by leaves during the generative phase is directly distributed to the grains. Besides, weather, soil fertility and groundwater influence the size and weight of the grains. Aminon et al. (2015) showed a positive correlation between plant height and number of leaves with production, including panicle length and weight of 100 seeds.

Qualitative morphological characters

Qualitative morphological characters (young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density of panicle, grain covering/glume length, glume color, and grain color) in the nine observed genotypes showed diversity. This diversity is influenced by plant genetic factors and environmental factors. This result is in line with the result of Zubair (2016), contributors to the phenotypic variability (appearance) of an individual plant are genetic variation, environmental variations, and genetic and environmental interactions.

Young leaf color, old leaf color, and leaf bone color

The young leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 6/6, 5 GY 6/8 and 5 GY 5/4. The old leaf color is dominated by 5 GY 5/4 and 5 GY 4/4 colors while the leaf bone is dominated by 2.5 GY 8/6 colors, 2.5 GY 8/8 and 2.5 GY 8/4 (Table 5). This indicates that each genotype has a specific leaf character.

Each plant has a difference in expressing the genetic code it receives. The difference in color of young leaves, old leaves and leaf bones is influenced by differences in the content of chlorophyll pigments. In higher plants there are two kinds of chlorophyll, namely chlorophyll a which is dark green and chlorophyll b which is light green. The ability of chlorophyll biosynthesis of each species and cultivar is also different (Salisbury and Cleon, 1986; Taiz, 2002; Hasidah, 2017).

Shape and density of panicle, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color

The results indicate that there are a variety shape and density of panicles, namely semi loose drooping primary branches, loose drooping primary branches, very loose drooping primary branches, semi-compact elliptic and compact elliptic (IBPGR/ICRISAT, 1993). Based on UPOV (2015), there are three color groups of glume, namely medium yellow, black and reddish brown, while the color of grains is more diverse, namely light brown, white, red-brown and yellowish white. The grain covering by glume (glume length) also varies, namely very short, short, medium and long (Table 6).

The difference of sorghum genotype can be identified more clearly in the generative phase compared to vegetaif phase. The nature of the panicle, husk and seed can be used as a parameter to distinguish the characteristics of each genotypes (Kusumawati et al. 2013). Panicle compactness, glume color, the presence of fur and grain color is the most qualitative properties varies between cultivars (Aminon et al. 2015). Sorghum panicle shaped solid or open. Seed is partially or completely covered by the husk. The seed color is red, white, yellow, brown; and the husk color is black, red or brown (du Plesis 2008).

Agronomic character

Number of tillers and grain production

Sorghum is plants that can form tillers. The number of tillers produced depends on soil fertility, groundwater, and other growing environments besides the influence of genetic factors. The number of tillers determines the amount of production because sorghum seedlings can produce grains but the number of tillers should be limited because it can affect the quantity and quality of the seeds of the main plant (House 1985; Andriani and Isnaini 2013). Gerik et al. (2003) stated that if environmental conditions grow favorably, sorghum can form tillers, both from the upper and lower stem segments. If the tillers are left unchecked, they produce a small number of extra grains but have lower quality and can delay harvesting for several weeks.

Table 5. Young leaf colors, old leaf colors and leaf bone colors nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

oung leaf color ^{*)}	Old leaf color ^{*)}	Leaf bone color ^{*)}
5.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
5.67% 5 GY 6/8 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 4/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4
5.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	66.67% 5 GY 4/6 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
5.67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	53.33% 2.5 GY 8/4 + 46.67% 2.5 GY 8/6
00% 5 GY 5/4	100% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
00% 5 GY 6/8	100% 5 GY 5/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/8
3.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 6/6	100% 2.5 GY 8/6
3.33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	100% 5 GY 4/4	26.67% 2.5 GY 8/8 + 73.33% 2.5 GY 8/6
8.33% 5 GY 6/8 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	33.33% 5 GY 4/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 5/4	100% 2.5 GY 8/4
0 $5.5.5.00$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ung leaf color ⁷ 67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4 67% 5 GY 6/8 + 33.33% 5 GY 5/4 67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4 67% 5 GY 6/6 + 73.33% 5 GY 5/4 0% 5 GY 5/4 0% 5 GY 5/4 0% 5 GY 5/4 33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6 33% 5 GY 5/4 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6 33% 5 GY 6/8 + 66.67% 5 GY 6/6	ung leaf colorOld leaf color $67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6 + 73.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/8 + 33.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 4/4$ $67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6 + 73.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 4/6 + 33.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6 + 73.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 4/6 + 33.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6 + 73.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $33.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 4/4 + 66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $7\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6 + 73.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $9\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $9\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$ $9\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4 + 66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6$ $33\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4 + 66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6$ $100\% 5 \text{ GY } 4/4$ $33\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/8 + 66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 6/6$ $33.33\% 5 \text{ GY } 4/4 + 66.67\% 5 \text{ GY } 5/4$

Note: ⁷ Characterization based on Munsell Tissue Colour Book (Wilde and Voight, 2012)

 Table 6. Shape and density of panicles, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

Genotype	Shape and Density of Panicles ^{*)}	Grain Covering (Glume Length) **)	Glume Color ^{**)}	Grain Color ^{**)}
Sb.Pas	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Lmg 1	Compact elliptic	Very short (25%)	Black	White
Sb.Lmg 2	Loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tbn	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown
Sb.Spg 1	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Spg 2	Semi-loose drooping primary branches	Medium (75%)	Medium yellow	Light brown
Sb.Tag 1	Very loose drooping primary branches	Short (50%)	Black	Yellowish white
Sb.Tag 2	Very loose drooping primary branches	Long (100%)	Reddish brown	Yellowish white
Sb.Jbg	Semi-compact elliptic	Short (50%)	Black	Red-brown

Note : *) Determination based on IBPG/ICRISAT (1993). **) Determination based on UPOV (2015)

As shown in Table 7, the number of tillers is not directly proportional to production because the amount of production is also influenced by panicle length, a number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, and weight of 100 grains. This is consistent with the research of Yoseph and Sorsa (2014). The highest production was reached by the Sb.Tbn genotype and was not different from the Sb.Tag 2 and Sb.Tag 1 genotypes while the lowest production was found in the Spg 1 genotype.

Flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age

The diversity of flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age in nine genotypes studied, results shows that each genotype has a different response to its growing environment (Table 8). Sorghum is a short day plant, meaning that plants require short days (long nights) before proceeding to the stage of reproduction. A very optimal to induce the formation of flowers is 10 to 11 hours. The photoperiode is longer than 11 to 12 hours of stimulating the growth of vegetative (House 1985; du Plesis 2008).

According to Kumar et al. (2013), photoperiodicity is an important factor in determining the time of flowering and harvesting. Besides, genetic factors also play a role in determining the life cycle of plants. Each variety has a different critical photoperiod (Kumar 2016). Lampley et al. (2014) said that genotypes have a significant effect on the number of days up to 50% flowering and the number of days up to 95% of physiological maturity.

Based on the maturity there were two groups, namely early maturing genotypes (Sb.Pas, Sb.Lmg 1, Sb.Tag 1, Sb.Tag 2) and medium age genotypes (Sb.Lmg 2, Sb.Tbn, Sb.Spg 1, Sb.Spg 2 and Sb.Jbg). This is in line with the study conducted by Tabri and Zubachtirodin (2013) that the age of sorghum harvest is classified into three, namely early maturity (<80 days), medium age (80-100 days) deep age > 100 days).

Table 7.	Number	of tille	ers and	d production	nine	local	sorghum
genotype	s from Eas	st Java,	Indor	lesia			

Genotype	Number of tillers		Grain production (ton hectare ⁻¹)		
Sb.Pas	3.56	bcd	4.09	bc	
Sb.Lmg 1	2.56	ab	4.28	bc	
Sb.Lmg 2	3.22	abcd	4.44	bc	
Sb.Tbn	2.67	ab	6.87	d	
Sb.Spg 1	3.89	cd	1.94	a	
Sb.Spg 2	2.33	а	3.83	b	
Sb.Tag 1	4.11	d	5.53	cd	
Sb.Tag 2	5.33	e	6.15	d	
Sb.Jbg	2.89	abc	4.04	bc	
Tukey Test 5 %	1.21		1.63		

Note: The numbers followed by the same letters show no difference in the Tukey Test 5%

 Table 8. Flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

Genotype	Flowering age (DAP)*)	Flowering to harvest age (day)	Harvest age (DAP)*)	Age classification**)
Sb.Pas	45	27	72	Early maturity
Sb.Lmg 1	46	27	73	Early maturity
Sb.Lmg 2	48	35	83	Medium age
Sb.Tbn	50	32	82	Medium age
Sb.Spg 1	39	45	84	Medium age
Sb.Spg 2	39	47	86	Medium age
Sb.Tag 1	50	26	76	Early maturity
Sb.Tag 2	37	32	69	Early maturity
Sb.Jbg	50	38	88	Medium age

Note: *) DAP (Days After Planting). ***) Classification based on Age classification of sorghum varieties (Tabri and Zubachtirodin 2013)

Table 9. Moisture content, ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber, carbohydrates nine local sorghum genotypes from East Java, Indonesia

Genotype	Dry weight	Moisture	Ash	Protein	Crude fat	Crude fiber	Carbohydrate (%)
C1 D	(70)	11.5((70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	<i>cc</i> 11
SD.Pas	88.44	11.56	3.66	10.25	3.39	5.03	66.11
Sb.Lmg 1	87.96	12.04	1.53	11.34	2.58	3.04	69.47
Sb.Lmg 2	89.86	10.14	3.58	11.15	3.81	5.12	66.20
Sb.Tbn	90.43	9.57	3.25	10.60	4.33	3.47	68.78
Sb.Spg 1	89.24	10.76	2.20	15.30	3.69	2.54	65.51
Sb.Spg 2	88.01	11.99	4.09	13.13	3.24	6.28	61.27
Sb.Tag 1	88.24	11.76	3.37	8.97	3.74	5.49	66.67
Sb.Tag 2	88.27	11.73	3.59	10.48	3.52	7.14	63.54
Sb.Jbg	87.42	12.58	2.38	10.47	3.87	3.36	67.34
Nutritional range	e		1 00 2 00	11.00.12.00	2 00 5 00	1 00 2 00	70.00.80.00
(Andriani and Isnaini 2013)		1.00-2.00	11.00-15.00	2.00-3.00	1.00-5.00	/0.00-80.00	

Note : Ash content based on AOAC 2005, protein based on SNI-2891-1992 Item 7.1, crude fat based on SNI-2891-1992 Item 8.1, crude fiber based on SNI-2891-1992 Item 11.

Physiological characters: Moisture content, ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber, carbohydrates

Nutrients contained in sorghum seeds are determined by nutrients absorbed by roots and rate of accumulation of dry matter in grains derived from assimilates during photosynthesis besides being influenced by genetic factors. Asimilat in sorghum plants in the form of nutrient content including ash content, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates (Salisbury and Cleon 1986). The levels of ash, protein, crude fat, crude fiber and carbohydrates contained in nine genotypes were varied (Table 9). When compared with nutritional range of sorghum (Andriani and Isnaini 2013), the ash and crude fiber content was relatively high, the protein varies (the highest is Sb.Spg 1 genotype of 15.30% and the lowest Sb.Tag 1 genotype of 8.97%), medium crude fat (ranged from 2.58% -4.33%) while carbohydrates were below the minimum limit (ranging from 61.27% -69.47%).

In conclusion, all quantitative characters, including morphological characters (plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, panicle length, number of grains panicle⁻¹, grain weight panicle⁻¹, weight of 100 grains) and agronomic characters (number of tillers, production hectare⁻¹, flowering age, flowering to harvest age and harvest age) indicate diversity. Qualitative characters showed diversity in young leaf color, old leaf color, leaf bone color, shape and density panicle, grain covering (glume length), glume color and grain color. Diversity was also shown in physiological characters with the highest protein potential (15.30%) achieved by the Sb.Spg 1 genotype, the highest crude fat (4.33%) achieved by the Sb.Tbn genotype, the highest carbohydrate (69.47%) achieved by Sb.Lmg 1 genotype and the highest crude fiber (7.14%) were achieved by the Sb.Tag 2 genotype. Based on all characters used, there are five genotypes that can be recommended for breeding programs, namely Sb. Lmg 1, Sb Tbn., Sb.Spg 2. Sb.Tag 1 and Sb.Tag 2. This can be proved by the morphological character, genotype Sb. Lmg1, Sb Tbn, Sb.Spg 2, Sb. Tag1 and Sb. Tag2 that has a high value; according to agronomic characters, genotype Sb. Tbn, Sb.Tag 1 and Sb. Tag 2 recorded highest production acres-1 with harvest age of short and medium, based on physiological characteristics, genotypes Sb.Lmg 1 and Sb.Spg 2 have the highest carbohydrate and protein content high.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported financially by the Merdeka Pasuruan College Foundation. We appreciate the technical assistance of the Agro-technology Laboratory Merdeka Pasuruan University, Indonesia and the Nutrition Laboratory of the University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia.

REFERENCES

Aminon ID, Loko LY, Adjatin A, Ewédjè EEBK, Dansi A, Rakshit S, Cissé N, Patil JV, Agbangla C, Sanni A, Akoègninou A, Akpagana K. 2015. Genetic divergence in Northern Benin sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) landraces as revealed by agromorphological traits and selection of candidate genotypes. The Sci World J 10:1-10.

- Andriani A, Isnaini M. 2013. Morphology and growth phases of sorghum. In: Sumarno et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Balakrishna D, Bhat BV. 2015. Biology of Sorghum bicolor (Sorghum). Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and Indian Institute of Millets Research, New Delhi.
- du Plessis J. 2008. Sorghum Production. Departement Agriculture Republic of South Africa.
- Elvira SD, Yusuf M, Maiyuslina. 2015. Agronomic characteristics of several sorghum varieties on marginal land in North Aceh. J Agrium 12(1): 1-4.
- Gerik T, Bean B, Vanderlip R. 2003. Sorghum Growth and Development. Texas Cooperative Extension, Texas.
- Hasidah, Mukarlina, Rousdy DW. 2017. Chlorophyll, carotenoid and anthocyanin content of caladium leaves. Protobiont J 6 (2) : 29 37.
- House LR. 1985. A guide to Sorghum Breeding. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. ICRISAT Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh India.
- IBPGR, ICRISAT. 1993. Descriptors for sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome.
- ICRISAT. 2004. Sorghum, a Crops of Substance. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research. Hyderabad, India.
- Kimber CT, Dahlberg JA, Kresovich S. 2013. The gene pool of Sorghum bicolor and its improvement. In: Paterson AH (ed). Genomics of the Saccharinae, Plant genetics and genomics: Crops and Models. Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Kumar AA, Hari Sharma HC, Sarma R, Blummel M, Reddy PS, Reddy BVS. 2015. Phenotyping in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. In: Panguluri SK, Kumar AA (eds.). Phenotyping for Plant Breeding: Applications of Phenotyping Methods for Crop Improvement. © Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- Kusumawati A, Putri NE, Suliansyah I. 2003. Characterization and evaluation of several sorghum genotypes (*Sorghum bicolor L*) in Sukarami, Solok district. J Agrotek, 4(1): 7-12.
- Lamptey S, Nyarko G, Falon A, Yeboah S. 2014. Assessing the performance of sorghum varieties in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. Asian Journal of Agricultural and Food Science 02(01): 64-72.
- Luna P, Widowati S. 2014. The potential and development of sorghum in East Java in the efforts of the national food diversification movement. Postharvest Agricultural Research and Development Center. Bogor.
- Mejia D, Lewis B. 1999. Sorghum: Post-harvest Operations. Post-harvest Compendium. Food and Culture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.
- Mofokeng MA, Watson G, Shimelis H, Tongoona P. 2012. Comparison between random amplified polymorphic dna (rapd) and simple sequence repeat (ssr) markers with high-resolution melt analyses in genetic variation analysis among selected sorghum genotypes. African Journal of Biotechnology 11(102): 16697-16707. DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.2091.
- Salisbury FB, Ross CW. 1992. Plant Physiology. Wasdword Publishing Co. A division of Wasdword Inc.
- Suarni, FirmansyahIU . 2013. Structure, nutrition composition and sorghum processing technology. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H, Suryawati. 2013. Producing areas and variety of sorghum use in Indonesia. In: Sumarno et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Subagio H, Aqil M. 2014. Assembly and development of sorghum superior varieties. Food Crop Sci and Tech 9(1): 39-50.
- Sumarno, Zuraida N. 2008. Management of plant germplasm integrated with breeding programs. Germplasm Bulletin 14(2): 57-67.
- Susilowati SH, Saliem HP. 2013. Sorghum trade in world and Asian markets and prospects for development in Indonesia. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Tabri F, Zubachtirodin. 2004. Sorghum plant cultivation. In: Sumarno, et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Talanca AH, Andriani NN. 2013. Development of sorghum variety assembly in Indonesia. In: Sumarno et al. (eds). Sorghum: Technology Innovation and Development. IAARD Press, Jakarta.
- Taiz L, Zeiger E. 2002. Plant Physiology. Sianuer Associates.

- UPOV. 2015. Sorghum. In: Guidelines for The Conduct Test for Distinctness, Uniformity, and Stability. International Union For The Protection Of New Varieties Of Plants, Geneva.
- Wilde, Voight. 2012. Munsell Tissue Colour Book. Grand Rapids, Michigan.
- Yoseph T, Sorsa Z. 2014. Evaluation of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) varieties, for yield and yield components at Kako, Southern Ethiopia. J Plant Sci 2014; 2(4): 129-133.
- Zubair A. 2016. Sorghum: Multi-benefit Plant. Unpad Press, Bandung. [Indonesian]